

AN ASSAY ON

**THE DEVELOPING STALINISM**

Prof. Dr. Stefan K. Robev,  
Member of The European Academy of Sciences & Arts

## C O N T E N T S

1. Panegyric of Mediocrity	3
2. The Principle of Maximal Absurdity	8
3. Communist Mechanism of Repair	11
4 The Bunch of High Ranking Comrades	14
5. Architects of Unanimity	18
6. KGB - Empire	22
7. The Survival as a Form of Happiness	29
8. The Wisdom of the Communist Party	34
9. Marxism and the Role of the King	39
10. Marxist Philosophy - Clever or Cunning?	43
11. Communist Propaganda - a Form of Misinformation	46
12. The Men that Corrupted Communism	50
13. The Argument of Bloodshed	58
14. Freedom in Scarlet	65
15. The Progress of Chaos	71
16. The Enemy	78
17. Blindness as an Intention	87
18. Soviet Science in Action	95
19. Who is Afraid of the Big Bad Truth?	108
20. Current Justice	116
21. Rearrangement of Puppets	123
22. The Bosses of Arts	130
23. The Fission of the Individual	137
24. Contents	142

## PANEGYRIC OF MEDIOCRITY

The bitter experience under the Communist Yoke is highly instructive for everybody on this planet. Communism is out of time, it is an anti-time factor, because its patterns might well be related to Roman History and to 20th century as well. Its fundamental structure is very simple:

1. The Mediocrity is proclaimed an all-comprising virtue.
2. Intellectuals are enemies of Communism not because they are enemies but because they are intellectuals.
3. Every Party member is fit for every kind of job.

It is obvious that from a communist point of view these principles are not suitable for publication. Neither are they mentioned at the numerous Party plenums, meetings, conferences and congresses. But they are valid and they work. They provide the chief impetus for the communist governmental machine.

On the other hand the widely heralded constitutional rights (Freedom of press, Freedom of religion, Freedom of organizations, etc.) in the Red paradise are always ideal and never real. They are invented *ad hoc* only for the needs of the "Newspaper communism". In this respect a vast series of quotations selected carefully from the multi-volume works of Marx, Engels and Lenin are manipulated with quite open cynicism to prove or neglect everything. They are addressed to every social absorber of lies.

The magic of quotation is a remarkable miracle of contemporary Communism. It can corroborate, can prove, can confirm or indulge every sort of statement, mistake or even crime. A "Perestroika"-journalist gave an

example how Lenin might be proved to be a mortal enemy of the theatre if the corresponding quotations were subjected to an enough sophisticated surgery.

A striking insincerity presides over much of contemporary "criticisms" to the communist totalitarianism. Criticisms-confessions are predominantly cosmetic operations trying to present the critics themselves as innocent victims. Solzhenitsyn, a very profound thinker, naively states that up to 1945 (he is born in 1917) he had been true supporter of Stalinism. This statement is quite apparently addressed to a public consisted of fools. One must not forget that in the meantime tens of millions are being already murdered or starved to death ( sentenced by the so called "troikas" that consist of the local Party secretary, the KGB-man and the local Soviet deputy, - no judges at all!). Solzhenitsyn, just as the majority of other critics of communism, begins to see the real truth only after tasting Stalinism by his personal tragedy. I am not attempting to draw conclusions, I am just mentioning the facts. I think it is human to "avoid" confessions like "I was frightened!", but that must not be hidden behind fables about someone's mental short-sightedness. This remark is valid for all of us.

Why stupidity is so attractive for the Communism? What is the reason for the radical and irreversible decrease of intellectual potency under the communist regimes? This is a general phenomenon with no exception for all communist countries. No doubt the prime reason is the complete lack of confidence in all kind of persons prone to whatever professional abilities. It is taken for granted that a good professional is difficult to direct ideologically.

The wave of expulsions of intellectuals from Russia started during Lenin's time (1921,1922) and later when Stalin came to power, the intellectuals had already been not simply banished but exterminated. It is worth mentioning that even those of them who have been only suspects in

possessing "harmful for the communism" high intellect had been also murdered. Otherwise one can not explain the vast number of victims that according to recent data exceed 40 million! No nation, no matter how much talented, could produce such a portion of top professionals. In peasant regions the search for intellectuals usually is being concentrated on such persons like the local blacksmith.

Western journalists and even the so called "sovietologists" let to be disorientated by a very simple political manoeuvre; they are manipulated to believe that in the communist countries the trials of people are as a rule according to the Law although *sometimes* not everything is *comme il faut*, especially as far as the political trials are concerned. The truth however has little to do with such a scenario. For every ordinary citizen in the communist society it is (and always has been) absolutely clear that communist law has nothing to do with the democratic law. The communist law is being proclaimed to be a class' law. However it is not even that. In fact it is a strongly differentiated approach by the court to each defendant according to his political merits - a new form of mediaeval elastic rules that are or are not being applied depending on the Party vision. Everybody knows that no case could be brought to court against a Party member without the permission of the local committee of the communist party! The same "principle" is valid when a Party member must be punished or dismissed from an institute. This rule works daily, it spits in the face of millions ordinary people who are not Party members and they have to keep silence. I remembered numerous conversations with Western representatives about the intrinsic nature of the communist party and I have never stopped wondering how untrue their impressions of Communism were. Most frequently the communist party is regarded as an evil political force but (without any foundation) *of the same type* as Western political parties. As

a matter of fact the communist party is a military organization with strict non disputed discipline and multistage hierarchy. Furthermore a strong tendency gathers momentum for a tight amalgamation of the party apparatus with the secret services, the latter being KGB-like in all communist countries. The secret service is the most demonstrative real force in communist society, irrespective of how much this would seem absurd to a foreign observer. In the beginning KGB was a Party institution; later the Party became an organization of KGB.

Communism is not at all hesitant to use every type of linguistic forgery to achieve short or long term political effects. G.Orwell is a brilliant soothsayer when drawing the attention of the reader to this point in his novel "1984". Party members are even worse than liars, they are being obliged to be traitors, whose duty is to report on everybody regardless whether it is a friend, a colleague or even a close relation. A classic example in this respect is the case with Pavlik Morozov who during the collectivization (1929-1931) presents evidence against his own father! The father shortly afterwards being executed, the treason of the youngster is being included as a heroic deed in the schoolbooks. The authorities however did not reveal the further development of the tragedy: Pavlik Morozov himself had been killed by his own grandfather as a traitor.

The combination between communist party and KGB-form of organization results in a Mafia-system where the main stimulus is based on the sense of guilt. Everybody involved is inevitably engaged in some kind of *documented* dirty activity that is considered a guaranty for his reliability. A high level example in this respect is Yanos Kadar, who becoming a traitor in 1956, won the confidence of the Soviet occupation forces in Hungary and was given the office of Party general secretary. This tragic farce is being repeated many

times: Gomulka in Poland, Gussak and Biliak in Czechoslovakia, etc. At a lower level this way of gaining collaborators is a permanent policy. Most drastically it is demonstrated in the high schools where the frequent purges throw out the brightest students and lecturers. This shameful act of terror is always accompanied by "unanimous" voting, false accusations in "ideological diversions" and finally it reaches its goal to divide the society into two parts: victims and executors.

It is very important to point out still another feature of the exterminations organized by the communists, no matter physical or mental. In contrast to other dictatorships, e.g. those of Napoleon or Hitler, the communist regime is an anonymous murderer, it is a Big Firing squad, nobody knows whose bullets are the actual cause of death. This is a natural expression of its immanent fear of truth.

## THE PRINCIPLE OF MAXIMAL ABSURDITY

It is hardly credible that such a primitive and cruel anti-human organization as rank and files, activists of a ruling communist party know whatever on Weber-Fechner's law in physiology. According to this law the sensual response of an organism to an external signal is not linearly proportional but gradually decreasing in intensity. May be sub-conscientiously the communists are extracting profits applying this law *in vivo*. They know very well that if a crime against Society is committed once it inevitably will provoke an immense negative reaction, the Dryfuss case in France is a very indicative example. However, what the public reaction would be if there were not one but thousands or even millions of dryfusses? - The public opinion would surely be blocked and the terror would become the undisputed master of the situation. The multitude has no surname.

This ferocious idea is the theoretical foundation of Stalinist unprecedented slaughter of almost the whole military leadership of the Red army (40 000 officers, 3 marshals included). Absurdity begins to preside over the conscience and its intensity is enormous. Instead of protest marches - mass demonstrations in support of the Father of all nations (Stalin) are spontaneously organized. In this way *Absurdity* under Communism becomes an active weapon. The expulsion of a single person (L. Trotsky in 1929) immediately became headline in all newspapers but who could tell the number of all those human beings deported during the war? The historians are trying to calculate with approximations in millions... Once again the degree of absurdity is staggering. It excludes any possibility for protestation.



The deportation itself is not only an administrative measure, it is a holocaust. The Tartars, the Tchuvashes, the Balkars, etc., have lost half of their population during the several days of deportation and that had been considered a normal procedure (!?) by the regime.

Absurdity governs also the so called communist elections, a show planned to persuade the world in the everlasting social and political unity of the masses around the communist party - at least over 99%! This kind of murderous mockery upon the millions of voters behind the iron curtain is the most eloquent proclamation of absurdity as a principle of the communist society.

It is a well-established fact that in this respect Hitler is only a modest disciple of Stalin. Another exclusive feature of communist tyranny is its unprecedented pressure on the personal life. Nobody is able to double Stalinist success in transforming the humiliation from a personal tragedy into a nation wide industry. On the communist stage the people are simultaneously actors and spectators, linked by an obvious lie. Every moment everybody knows that everything is an imitation of life, of Freedom, and of democracy but all are following the given direction that the communists call Historic predestination. The change of their mental outlook depends only upon the party summit. And Patience is the formula of surviving.

Members of the socialist society are given the freedom to obey the so called democratic centralism whose two words exclude absolutely each other, the first one is comic, the second one is tragic. This never existing political hybrid had been invented by Lenin and it resembles the ancient chimera - an imaginary creature with lion's body, goat's head, and a snake instead of a tail. Biological and social cruelties are perfectly combined behind the mask of democratic centralism, they are expected to cause the ultimate solution to all

problems. The red cruelty is frightening mostly because it lacks personal features. Communist hatred is covered with words for well-doing, for high ideas but its very essence is thirst for blood, plenty of blood, blood as much as possible.

Mediocrity is unpredictable and that is why quite obvious political errors are sometimes presented as a sign of profound strategy. It is remembered perfectly well how widely spread was in the 50s the point of view that the colossal Soviet losses in troops and arms during the first weeks after the German invasion in 1941 had been an ingenious manoeuvre of Great Stalin aiming to attract the enemy deep inside Russia to overpower and defeat it (an apparent hint to the Napoleonic war in 1812). This absurd thought is an arrogant lie but when it is being multiplied a million times its absurdity successfully turned it into an official truth.

Lies impregnate all spheres of communist society but strange enough the number of people who trust them is very small regardless of the red efforts. "Dogmacracy" is a persisting shortage of common sense, shameless leaders and forcibly obedient servants being allied in a mutual agreement, for the sake of mess creating lies. This self-deception is considered temporal by the weaker partner but it seldom is. The great expectations for liberation from outside are in fact a contribution to the stability of Communism because the idiotic hope that a good Big friend will come from the other end of the world to die for *your* freedom is just like a hypnotic pill. Yes, it is.

## COMMUNIST MECHANISM OF REPAIR

The already mentioned *idiotization* of ordinary citizens undertaken by the red regime is being very deeply rooted, it is one of the chief resources to satisfy the internal political needs. The so called Socialist rout of development is a series of overlapping crises, although it is a boring communist practice to present the failure as a success. The Democratic world progress is based mainly on the "trials and errors" method that enables a political structure to accumulate experience from its own faults and to introduce the necessary corrections. This, no doubts, useful principle works quite degenerated under Communism. In communist practice it is in fact not "trials and errors" but rather "trials and catastrophes", being in that way the most expensive method of gathering experience in History. One could imagine that those who are practicing it should be enormously rich. On the other hand the permanent crises require perpetual repair and so communism is being compelled to enter new catastrophes in an attempt to deal with the old ones. In this respect the world communism is very much alike an onion that is desperately trying to dismantle its own coats to better its appearance - when the job is being done the onion would disappear. Communist society (in USSR since 1917, in Eastern countries since the World war II) has proved to be irreparable. It is a very expensive waste of time and resources, both material and mental, that is not worth patching. The Sisyphus labor dedicated to a social repair of Communism and the persisting efforts to explain the negative results exclusively with the "international imperialism and the corrupted lackeys of the American gold, led by the despised warmongers" looks very naive.

Stalin was the organizer of the huge struggle against the so called "left" and "right" deviations from the Party line - deviations that had never existed. L.Trotsky is being proclaimed "left", later "right" and finally simply a spy for a variety of foreign countries. In the same way had been liquidated Radek, Zinovyev, Rickov, Bukharin, etc. : trials, squeezed under torture confessions, death sentences and firing squads. They had been "happy" being taken to court. The millions other victims are not even being given this poor form of "glassnost", the "glassnost" of execution.

It is not unusual to hear explanations and theorization of the need of periodical purge among the communist brotherhood either on national or international scale. This is a circumstantial argument to present the mass murders as an ideological necessity. The oppressive system of contemporary communism is an excellent vertically structured enterprise for punitive actions. Death is a million times exercised *ultima ratio* but there are other means too and they are being used in everyday's life. Practically these methods have nothing to do with the law, being based on primitive pragmatism, ignoring completely Justice and Truth. System of hostages is being widely practiced, predominantly on a family scale, especially in political trials when a confession is being needed at any price.

-You will die anyway but if you agree to plead guilty before the court your family would survive...

This is the main formula for the manipulation of the victims. It takes place not only in court but everywhere - when one needs to send one's child in a prestige school, to visit a foreign country, to buy a car, to rent a flat, to undergo medical treatment in a hospital... Thus all sort of activity that is considered part of normal human rights, under Communism is a privilege granted for selected merits. So a very complex hierarchy is being constructed

and on the top of it presides the almighty communist NOMENCLATURE. This NOMENCLATURE is worth special attention.

## THE BUNCH OF HIGH RANKING COMRADES

The word "Nomenclature" is impossible to be found neither in Party congress protocols nor in the communist constitution, although it is exclusively postulated that the State is governed and shall be governed forever by the communist party.

Communist nomenclature is something like a closed society of party members, proved to be reliable, who are preliminary vetted by KGB and are being considered ready to being given high party and governmental jobs. They have personal files (dossiers) in the Central Committee and are not responsible before any authoritative body except the Party administration. The intellectual potencies of these people are as a rule desperately low and this feature of theirs is subject of a great number permanently circulating jokes. All these people are "high positioned" but nobody among them is "high standing". Usually they have no professional abilities but instead of being ashamed of that they are even proud of it. Quite naturally the following question arises:

-Is such a band of generously paid non-professionals really necessary?  
And what for?

The indispensability of nomenclature party members is being based in fact just on their lack of whatever professional abilities, reaching to sincere stupidity. Hamlet's expression "plentiful lack of wit" suits them perfectly. That is why the people from the nomenclature are readily given leading positions ranging from ambassadors to chiefs of pharmaceutical plants, their incompetence being absolutely smooth in every direction of human activity.

The world-wide proclaimed communist protection of the poor and deprived people does not prevent the communists themselves enjoying special

system of food supply, special health service (hospitals, recreation institutions, etc.) chauffeur driven governmental cars (mostly Mercedes) and having no expenses for anything. It is being said that Money is Power but under the Communism Power is Money. That is why the often raised question by the Western media "How much is the salary of the party bosses?"-, is in a certain degree meaningless; for the people inside the communist society - it sounds funny.

The party members fear nothing else than political changes because they are well aware that the undeserved profits they have, would hardly being repeated another time. The depth of their immorality reaches even to the Constitution that they regarded as created especially to be permanently abused by them and their progeny.

The "telephone law", that is, the solving of any problem not according to the existing regulations but just by a phone call from the corresponding top man, is being widely spread. This nasty practice causes vastly multiplied negative effects - it augments the arrogance of the nomenclature party members and at the same time it deprives completely the ordinary people even of the last traces of trust in the communist principles of legislation. When someone offends the law one does not wait to being taken to court but simply asks:

- To whom of the big party bosses should I address myself to get the business arranged?

This is the right and pretty effective direction to general corruption.

The communist Nomenclature Mafia, an incubator of world terrorism, has sometimes a rather beneficial image before the Western countries. Few people take for granted that the situation is really so desperately bad. Very usual are exclamations of the sort:

- It cannot be
- It is impossible!
- It is a terrible exaggeration!

Perhaps this sentimental refusal to contemplate the facts is an attractive ideological hook that had been swallowed many times in this century even by undoubtedly intelligent personalities - Romain Rolland, Herbert B. Wells, Leon Feuchtwanger, Albert Einstein, Bernard Shaw... The series is long and very impressive. However the truth is being always based on facts, not on names.

The dominating now zoological communism, like every sort of totalitarianism, plays on the most sensitive strings of the human beings - the primitive instincts. That kind of politics is very efficient because the communist society itself is a perfect example of prehistoric primitivism. If one would judge the Stalinist type of communism (no other pure type of communism does exist) by the parameters of market economy one should give USSR, Rumania, Bulgaria, Cuba, etc. places behind the slavery empires of the antiquity; for slavery societies had enjoyed flourishing market with immense variety of goods. A well developed hypocrisy exists among the nomenclature party members caused by the permanent fear that they *might be suspected* in being *insufficiently loyal* to the regime. The administrative sandwich *chief/subordinate* requires a good equilibrium, a specially adjusted lack of brightness because there is no other more bitter accusation for a party member than that he is an intellectual. "Rotten intellectual" is usually the worst form of offence that burst out when some "comrade" is being found guilty and has to be cut short from the party privileges.

One may imagine that the Nomenclature men are being in some degree involved in an ideology generating activity. That is not true. Their main



function is to approve not to discuss. On what topics K. Marx agrees or disagrees with Hegel or Feuerbach is something very remote from the heavily restricted mental horizons of these negatively selected people. All kind of information gathering and processing is being regarded only as a technical detail, this being a job reserved for low positioned specialists. *Tabula rasa-creatures* with a card for communist party membership - that is what defines totally the Nomenclature men. The mental abilities of a Nomenclature man are usually being regulated in two positions (according to the instructions from the top): to say "Yes" or "No". By a coincidence this is the intellectual capacity of a WC-reservoir, the latter having also only two positions of choice.

## ARCHITECTS OF UNANIMITY

In 1933 elections Hitlerism came to power by an convenient but not 100% majority. Only a short time later however the absolute limit has been reached very closely. There is serious evidence that a useful exchange of experience on mass repression had been taken place between the Third Reich and the Stalinist empire as far as it is well known that the communists declare the election results positive only if they are over 99% in favor of their regime.

How these miraculous figures can be attained? Who needs them? And why?

Many politicians laugh at this tyranny tailored unanimity. Actually it deserves condolence and thorough explanation. If one follows Schopenhauer one should accept unanimity as the final stage of free will suppression. In the communist world that is being achieved through:

1. Threat.
2. Education (or rather Re-education).
3. Mental forgery.

These three methods obviously contradict each other but, never mind, Communism does not stand upon ceremonies when its interests are at stake. Maxim Gorky - the champion of the so called Socialistic realism in Art, states:

-Those who are not with us will be persuaded to be our allies. If not, we will force them to obey!

Every kind of political elections in communist society must be and are prescribed to be unanimous. Yeltsin & Co are a very cheap mystification of democracy, in fact they are a diversion. Just imagine! - elections to the

Socialist Parliament: the vote of the people in favor of the party candidates is over 99%. Or let us see the voters "approval" to pay *voluntarily* more taxes (expressed by the word "self-taxation" that is absent in all normal languages) - again 99%!..

-With how much *they* self-taxed you?

One understands now how perfectly right is Immanuel Kant when declaring:

-The Dialectics is the Logic of illusion...

Confessions of some miraculously survived old party members in USSR have proved that the vote of the high ranking delegates at the 17<sup>th</sup> Party Congress (for the election of the Central Committee Members) had been thoroughly forged. Three hundred delegates had voted against Stalin but the Control Commission had announced officially only 3. Not very long afterwards Stalin had taken his revenge in such way that the 17<sup>th</sup> Party Congress is still being called "The Congress of the executed delegates".

The Party "Truth" presides over all organizations in the communist countries and especially over the Parliament. More than 40 years (for USSR they are 70) the members of the Parliament (MP,s) are nothing else but puppets freely manipulated by the Politburo. Remarkable results are being registered in Bulgaria, Rumania, Czechoslovakia, USSR, Cuba, Vietnam, China, where huge periods of time are being spent under the grave seal of a forced political unanimity. Future generations will laugh at these results but until recently they were being accepted seriously...

Nevertheless such sort of seriousness is difficult to maintain. Why? - Because the incessantly praised Party line is being so equivocally curved that the proclaimed unanimity is not able to follow this line even mechanically. There are striking examples when parliamentary activists vote without any idea

about the subject. The automat of unanimous decisions works and produces abundance of absurdity. On the other hand a deluge of ministerial ordinances, each of them controversial, maintain the system in a steady disorder.

The obedience to the ever-changing caprices of communist bureaucracy requires an enormous flexible unanimity. One has to support, sometimes, even daily, quite opposite points of view! In this respect the journalists are in the most troublesome position, the other media-men too. On 16.07.1969 when the whole world watched in direct translation the landing of Apollo-11 module "Eagle" on the moon a socialist country switched in "by mistake" its network to cover the great event. This being considered an abuse to the party line (in USSR this program being banned) the administration fires 140 TV personnel. The unanimity is being recovered. It is being proved once more that communism is intrinsically abnormal and that it needs abnormality in order to exist.

It is being rumored that when a party member had been asked if he has personal opinion on the party politics he had answered:

-Yes, I have an opinion of my own but I disagree with it.

Recently a wave of democratization is gathering momentum in some communist countries that I regard as a big attempt for political double-crossing. This process deserves special attention. In that way the summit governmental forces intend to change the hopelessly deteriorated image of the old "absolute majority" style. The new born "opposition", created by KGB, is trying to gain further opportunities for a free hand. There is more cunning than reason in this "struggle" but it is quite evident that not a single new idea for political progress is being put forward. Here is the key point to the understanding of the communist ideological tragedy. The lack of new ideas is their permanent disease, they have not at all a really useful plan how to run a modern state. All

new forms they are discussing at their plenums, etc., are being taken *ready made* from the capitalist political life. The cardinal question is whether KGB-communism will succeed in keeping this dangerous experiment of democratization under control because it is clear for everybody (except for the communist bosses) that the play with the unanimity is a dynamite ornamented task.

Forced unanimity is one of the most striking differences between a Bourgeois Revolution and a Socialist one: it reflects the different degree of mediocrity among the leaders. Danton, Marat, Robespierre are not intellectual giants but, irrespective of that, they do not regard the unanimity as indispensable. When one reads their speeches and other published works one is being deeply touched by the brilliancy of their style and by the energy of their thoughts. In contrast, the architects of communist society and of communist unanimity are in their great majority beyond the line of the elementary literacy. A small additional remark:

Stalin, Bukharin, Vyshinsky are members of the Soviet Academy of Sciences - elected unanimously...

It is conceivable that perhaps after such type of behavior the people who voted positively will burst into complexes of guilt and actually some did, even cases of suicide are being documented, but the majority remained impassible...It is always so when Corruption presides over the Disappointment, thus helping the Conscience to remain suppressed.

I think there are objective reasons in favor of the communist thrive to unity and unanimity. The most important one is being connected with the fact that the political equilibrium in all socialist countries is as a rule dangerously unstable. The absurdity of the System makes every non-standard initiative able to trigger an avalanche effect in the lethargic political life.

## KGB-EMPIRE

The Secret Service is accepted to be an unpleasant necessity for every society. Joseph Fouché is its founder and Godfather whose leading role in the dirty business of spying is already considered a classic. However in communist countries especially the Secret service is a gigantic and all comprising mechanism. KGB is almighty in every aspect of life. Hitlerism and Communism fully coincide on this point. Hess' principles remain still valid in the whole communist block:

- 1) Everybody can be a spy.
- 2) Everybody must be a spy.
- 3) There is not a secret impossible to obtain.

In totalitarian states the secret service is totalitarian too. Its secrecy however is rather arbitrary because the greater part of the machine is not hidden at all. It is being intentionally made widely known that all restaurant bosses, heads of scientific institutes, hotels, plants, etc., the whole spectrum of diplomats are agents of KGB. In addition, at every university college or research institution there is always a "technician", a "doorman", a "nurse", a "sanitation inspector" or "research fellow" who is KGB-agent and to whom the local traitors should address their reports.

The metastasis of KGB comprises the whole state organism to the very summit and the awards for co-operation vary considerably. A school boy might be bought not only by threats but also by promises for an easy career at the university and by finding a prestige position. KGB itself is a proof how cheaply in fact human beings can be manipulated. After the disintegration of all moral principles and their substitution for the principles of a universal

permissiveness, every cruelty, however bad, is being justified by abstract considerations for somebody's security.

In metaphorical terms KGB is like an ideal gas whose basic property is to occupy any available volume of space and it actually is trying to be pan-global and pan-penetrating. The number of the regular KGB-agents is a highly classified matter but statistical calculations starting from a model of a totally spied society give figures approximating 10% of the whole population. It should be pointed out that as a matter of fact this is the average percentage of the communist party members in USSR and the satellite countries although it is well known that KGB-bosses use widely also non party members for their dirty purposes.

At the Supreme Congress of the Soviets in 1989 J.Vlassov, ex-champion in weight lifting and writer, extracted from the KGB-boss the reluctant confession that the regular salary-paid secret agents were 800 000 but everybody knows that these data were only the top of the iceberg. The real figures are strongly variable and practically limitless. An arrogant communist state President tried once to joke saying:

-We are being asked how many collaborators are working for KGB. Well, we readily will give the answer - all the people!

Poor fellow, he did not even imagine how tragically close was this answer to reality.

The extent of prosperity permitted in the communist society is being characterized not by the extent of freedom but by *lifting of restrictions* . By a coincidence here we face a close resemblance with the code of the underworld:

- Your life is your guarantee!

The permanent menace is able to extract from people a great variety of dirty services, sometimes absolutely free of charge. No money down! Most often the KGB-informers are being paid with promises that they will not be persecuted. There is another important point: for such a bad activity like spying no moral objections could be raised because it is being regarded as something habitual! It occurs to me that just here is to be found the explanation why, in spite of the immanent and total disorganization of communist society, KGB-machine is being still working and produces results.

Since the early 20-s all terrible Gulag and Gulag-like institutions are being put on an economical basis with no precedent in History. The ancient slavery labor is being resurrected on an immense scale and gradually millions of people begun to produce goods at zero expenses. Since 1935 the experience of KGB ( then NKVD) had been thoroughly studied in this respect by the nazis and creatively introduced in German concentration camps. The results are being well known, but no Nuremberg is being organized for KGB.

The system of the communist Secret service must be always regarded as an industry. I would like to remind the contemporary economists that the whole Soviet state and warfare are being built by and on exploitation of slavery labor during Stalinist period. The life saving fear is being proved to be a powerfully constructive factor under KGB. KGB was the decisive force even in such fields like technical and scientific inventions (Tupolev, Korolyov, Vavilov), sculpture, painting, poetry - a whole year Anna Akhmatova, whose son is being taken to labor camp, writes and publishes verses praising Stalin, whom she deeply detests. I am mentioning this only to emphasize that the economic crisis that is continuing non stop since 1956 (when after the 20<sup>th</sup> Party Congress the biggest part of the slavery camps is being closed) is mostly due to the disappearance of Gulag-system as a leading factor in the



communist economy. Unfortunately nobody dares to confess this simple and evident truth.

Heroes of the Perestroika, don't forget that the supply of goods you were lamenting had been produced by Gulag-prisoners!

The million-numbered army of slaves is no more on active service and there are no candidates to fill the gap, because Glasnost can only praise the work but cannot do it.

Apart from its achievements in modern slavery KGB is a spiritual center of interesting social experiments. An important topic in this respect is the very detailed investigation of how ordinary human beings are being transformed into traitors. It could be easily proved that the efforts in that direction present the essence of KGB-activity. Personal dignity is the target that the whole infernal device of the communist Secret service is aiming at. The desired results are being obtained by *all conceivable means*, not a single of them being neglected. Mediaeval tortures (empty handed or using the corresponding "tools"), moral inquisition, violence and violation on family members, threat (in a broad variety of forms) and complex chemical treatments that kill the will and provide co-operative human debris, are being never spared.

There are heart breaking stories about detained people who had been compelled to expose their closest friends... In my opinion the more important point is the very process of becoming a traitor. Probably there is some sadistically satisfaction that the executor feels, much alike a powerful Mephisto, when takes his brother's soul and pushes it into the mud of a mortal sin.

Irrespective of how meticulously the personal dossiers are being stored under the seal "to be kept forever!", nobody can take account of a particular case among a multitude of people several times more numerous

than the population of a continent like Australia! The only characteristics they have got is a number. That is terrible! The society remembers the heroes but much less willingly the traitors, especially when their activity is connected with a rather restricted political importance. However who would risk to systematize the traitors in small-, medium- and large- size groups?! I insist that, from a moral standpoint, the betrayal has no grades and this has to be announced in a loud voice. You can indulge a traitor but I doubt if you can say:

-This is a comparatively small traitor...

When one is thinking over and over again the Gulag holocaust one inevitably becomes interested not only in dead victims but also in those who had survived. At what price? That is a fatal question. In KGB cages there is no pluralism of points of view even no whatever alternative, if you would neglect the alternative of death.

KGB is not an ideological organization although formally it is subordinated to the ideological discipline like everybody in the communist society. I say "formally" because actually it is simply a weapon used in accordance with the intentions of those who own it. In the "Red paradise" this weapon is the tool of terror that turned out to get so large dimensions that it is impossible to link the repression only with guilty persons. Mass repression is always being used as social prophylactics and a warning. So every moment it becomes possible that the executor himself might be accused in persecuting innocent citizens... and just so Stalin did. The openly illegal activity of KGB Stalin used as a threat against his own servants! This threat is being applied many times provoking a very instructive domino effect in KGB-summits. Yagoda (russification of the Jewish name Iehudy) had been dismissed, sentenced to death and substituted for Ezhov; Ezhov had continued the bloody business still more incessantly than his predecessor. Soon Ezhov (in

accordance with the immanent nature of the Terror) had followed Yagoda. He had been dismissed, had been accused in high treason and sentenced to death...

Then for head of KGB Stalin crowned his compatriot Lavrenty Beria who I think quite rightly might be called Paganini of torture. He presided over KGB for 15 years!

These biblically sounding changes in the Central Secret police in USSR are almost literally being repeated in the KGB-organizations of the different Soviet republics and later in satellite countries as well.

One may be tempted to compare Hitler's Secret service (Gestapo) with the communist KGB (TcheKa, NKVD) and one surely will find out many common features especially as far as their unprecedented ferocity is concerned. A striking difference however appears when one analyses the official statements about both Gestapo and KGB. Gestapo executors are probably more brutal but more sincere. In Hitler's capital work "Mein Kampf", written in 1923, (10 years before the nazis came to power) the author is announcing most of what he had been planning to do. In contrast to him all Stalin's bloody deeds are being impregnated by a hypocrisy of highest quality. The well-known Maxim Gorky edited in the early 30s a collective volume of literary works presenting the slavery labor of KGB prisoners at Belomorkanal as a form of humanitarian education and as a great victory of Communism "on the moral front". The most cruel oppressive measures of deportation (in USSR, Rumania, Bulgaria, China, GDR) are said to be a gesture of good KGB-will and that it had been met with joyful obedience by the victims. Nobody trusts these fabrications but nevertheless they are being repeated daily by all media for years...

I hope no more people shall be forced to pass through that way but who knows? Mark Twain says:

The experience makes people clever but not too clever.

The discrepancy between words and reality in KGB-activities is long-lasting but never permanent. From time to time at some Party conference or congress higher interests of the communist system (more exactly - of its bosses) require a new orientation (for instance - "perestroika") and quite unexpectedly the retirement of KGB-chief is being announced. Usually he is accused of being liable to many mistakes, lack of self-criticism, etc., and how all this had been taken advantage of by the enemies of the working class... Such are the general formulae. The dismissal is always supplemented with the following phrase:

-The former chief of KGB is guilty in non-permissible deformation of the Lenin's principles.

If you would check retrospectively the history of this problem you would surprisingly discover that until very recently no other form of communism had existed except the deformed one.

-Could a camel be forever presented for a deformed horse?!

I put once jokingly this question to a Party official.

-If you keep talking like that we can always find out narcotics or fire weapons in your bag...

## THE SURVIVAL AS A FORM OF HAPPINESS

The democratic Western type governments are paying big money to keep certain institutions studying communist regimes and perhaps this money is not being spent in vain. In my opinion however to obtain not only first hand information but also live impression, one should dive into the very essence of everyday socialist reality. No sovietologist can describe and evaluate the sheepish transformation of a human being that is forced to queue for hours before the almost empty shops to buy a piece of soap. This tragic event would not have been tragic if it were not happening on a nation-wide scale. To investigate such ordinary and seemingly insignificant details you do not need a telescope but a social microscope and patience to fulfill all necessary simple observations before trying to generalize.

The lack of essential goods and the KGB-terror looks like two separate disasters but one soon detects that in the long run they are rather closely linked. Everyday crises in supply of necessities make the behavior of ordinary citizens mild and submissive. A person that had been queuing for several hours everyday after work time (there is evidence that this is being done more and more during work time too) is like clay in the hands of each political master and in the hands of a KGB-official in particular. A new type of creature is sculptured out of that clay - the *socialist citizen* who is fantastically obedient. Many years ago J. Medvedev revealed the mechanism by which scientists from leading academic institutes are collectively summoned to harvest potatoes. Many did not believe that. Later it was accepted as a remnant from the past. In fact the compulsory participation in agricultural activity is an

indispensable obligation for all sorts of intellectuals, the surgeons included. In Summer you can often see short announcements:

-Dr. X, Dr. Y are absent because of engagements in agricultural brigade.

You can imagine how professionally fit will be a surgeon after such a physical training. You can imagine too how a Dutchman or an Englishman would react to a similar absolute lawlessness. The socialist citizen however keeps silence, he sees no other possibility than obedience. Permanent oppression even by a medium sized brutality, non-interrupted food shortage, an avalanche of restrictions, disfigures his mentality. He becomes ideologically reliable but absolutely non-creative. A calm non-conflicting madness arises among the population as an attempt to escape from the absurd communist reality. The strikes, even uprisings, in the vast Red empire are nothing in comparison with the submissiveness of the silent majority. This well-frightened majority gives no signs of transformation trends just as if trying to confirm the words of Walt Whitman ("To the States"):

"Once fully enslaved, no nation, state, city of this earth,  
ever afterwards resumes its liberty."

This majority is not only homogenous but also homogenized, being a bulk of formless matter with no individual opinions, no initiatives, obsessed with a staggering fear for tomorrow. I cannot say that this situation would not be possible to change but if it would, that would be a very difficult task.

The art of being alive under Communism is inevitably linked with a series of compromises. The latter usually consists of small details that begin with how to say Good morning and end with your behavior before the red court. As a rule the champions of Truth are being privileged to die early. Normal life in the communist society is sometimes an unacceptable burden

and this explains why Uzbekhs women often prefer to put themselves on fire than to live under Soviet terror. Even such a decorated communist spie as major Orlov (the American seaman who gathered military information for USSR), being taken to Russia and given a Russian name, was unable to get accustomed to the permanent lack of freedom and committed suicide.

The "little man", "the tramp" of Charles Chaplain, would have no chance to demonstrate his brilliant tricks if given the opportunity to live under communist sky. He has no chance even to survive. Address registration is being strictly regulated by the red administration. If Charley would desire to go and live in the capital or in a big city he would be immediately deported or sent to prison. Your right to live where you want is deeply frozen in the communist world. In Russia it still works. The pretext is that big cities are over-crowded but the real reason is to spread the totalitarian control over every single human being and every home. The same is valid also for the state borders that are tightly closed like a cage, the Berlin wall being the symbol of the tragedy. The cities are further divided into communities, the communities into regions, the regions into "quarters" and the latter into separate blocks of dwellings. Each of the above mentioned units has its responsible staff of agents that is doubled on a Party, Police and KGB- level, the KGB-level being of course the most important one. As a system the organization is not very ingenious but it works because it triggers the basest sides of human nature. The number of cheap informers increases and, it should be pointed out, not always due to a political motivation. Many are just trying to present their personal interests as important state's problems in agreement with what habitually their governmental officials do as well.

The grave social consequences from this practice are widely known. They comprise not a single generation but the population as a whole. Vice

and Virtue are being announced to be "class" categories and as far as the meaning of the word "class" is very unclear this strategy is presumed to serve only the communist junta and its interests. This way of life is regularly praised by the party propaganda machine, often accompanied by party inspired poets:

"O glorious Party! You are always right,  
Even when You are mistaken!"

(Lines from a popular party hymn in Bulgaria. Author: Christo Radevsky )

Very impressive, is not it, especially if one takes into consideration that later the author himself (Christo Radevsky) publicly repented. The brain-wash organized by the Party is gradually providing some results. Moral standards drop sharply reaching the level of their practical non-existence. Anonymous reports were flown so abundantly that in several communist countries special measures had been taken to invalidate them. However they are still alive. It is being quite seriously stated that if you want a cause to be paid attention by the authorities, write anonymously! I know a minister who maintains a whole bureaucratic institution to work exclusively on anonymous reports.

-They are the real heralds of Truth! - says he.

Being a possible target of anonymous verbal bullets people feel insecure and they themselves seek shelter in anonymity trying to disappear in the ocean of the multitude, to become part of the nameless crowd, crowd *in singular*. In that way we soon will come to the conclusion that anonymity is genetically linked to unanimity in a common grave where every individuality is expected to die. In this respect communism is breeding dwarfs, not giants - the latter are too big targets to escape communist attacks.

Nobody can say that the people under totalitarianism are universally bad. Actually they are not. They are just only human beings. Communism promotes the development of the worst inclinations that in dormant state surely



exist in everybody. It is horrible to think that all countries on the globe may become populated by such majorities of tamed ideological tools if the "World red revolution" succeeded.

Who cares whether the masses are sincerely convinced in the ever-repeated dogmas? - Their submissive behavior is that matters before all. In pursuit of this cause the communist government intentionally lowers the level of education. The criteria for intellectual capacities are such that practically all persons entering schools, colleges, universities, etc., receive diplomas. Thus a powerful mediocrity bomb is being created and it is going to explode not in an instant but permanently. This is not a failure. It is being planned thoroughly in advance.

Reliability is a basic point in the party dossiers. It is an important barrier. Once assessed to be reliable the party member may be raised to whatever leading job. When future theoreticians will discuss the initiation of the natural disaster called Communism they shall pay special attention to that phenomenon.

## THE WISDOM OF THE COMMUNIST PARTY

The word "wisdom" had been initially designated to describe a high degree of mental abilities exclusively in one particular person. Collective wisdom had never existed just as it had never existed a collective toothache. However gradually an anthropomorphic change had occurred and the communist party had been ascribed to absolutely non-collective properties. It is being called "Mother", "Father" (but never "cousin" or "nephew")...and further "The Greatest organizer of our successes", "Leader of the Nation to the bright horizons of the future", etc. Wide popularity among the adjectives in circulation enjoy those as "wise", "honest", "invincible". The series is long and boring.

Communist party had been planned as a conspiracy and at the eve of October revolution (1917) it numbered approximately 60 000 members. It is interesting that after the dispersing of the Constitutive Assembly (*Uchreditelnoe Sobranie*) - the only free elected Soviet Congress for more than 70 years, the Communist parties of the Soviet Union and later of all socialist satellite countries exercise unrestricted domination over 30% of world population. An important feature of this tyranny is the unparalleled declaration that the tyranny is forever. It is being printed in the constitution!

In what way is the wisdom of the party being manifested in action? - That is done by a far going integration of the huge party apparatus with the governmental executive administration but without a complete amalgamation of these two bodies. The "wisdom" of the party is manifested under a special circumstance: the party is being self-authorized to fulfill every type of dirty

business but the responsibility, if any, can readily be transferred to the state institutions that accept either the total or the partial guilt. This procedure proved to be very convenient for decades. Its convenience however is fruitless. The incapability of the party members to whatever constructive activity is caused directly by the very pattern of communist organization. Historically the communist party is a destructive force, ruthless and efficient. That is why a tragedy begins since the very first day after the revolution. The communist leadership is trying to introduce the Third unofficial principle of its doctrine:

"Every party member is fit for every kind of job."

Like all communist principles this one also leads to disaster when put to work. The results are staggering: USSR (more than 20 million km<sup>2</sup>!) is not able to nourish itself. Poland, Yugoslavia, Hungary, Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia are paying to foreign creditors more than 5 billion \$ interests per year!

Instead of going to primary school the "comrades" put on academic gowns and they begin to teach... L.Valesa who passed through many circles of the communist "paradise" in Poland said that "Solidarnost" is against the communists mainly because of their incompetence. The latter is result of a very long process. The moral of a ruling communist is strikingly different from the moral of a communist underground. Although the communists say they despise the market problems they in fact use the market laws when corrupting the people and everybody knows that this is their cardinal task after coming to power. All sorts of human ambitions are put on sale. All jobs are "distributed" not on basis of professional abilities but on the basis of trustfulness. Trustfulness to what? Ideas? No, it is much more useful to serve a person than an idea. The bureaucratic chain in the party consists of personal links, not of ideas.

The professional requirements for fitness concern only the KGB-executors. They have to be good.

The stubborn persistence to bet always on mediocrity gives priority to a rank and files institution whose members form a pretty closed circle. They even have a special political jargon, a special physiognomy, special salaries and special food supply. As already mentioned, the Nomenclature is the very core of the Party structure. Gorbachev revealed in USSR a number of 18 million party bureaucrats. In Poland it is said that they are about 1,2 million. The special way of life and the special standard of living convert them into a cast. Milovan Djilas called it "The new class" but this is only a metaphor as far as they are not partners in the social life but rather a universal social inhibitor, causing stagnation and even regression (Poland in the 90s has been trying to reach the economic figures of the 70s ...)

I always have been wondering how thoroughly the party investigates the past of its eventual candidates for membership. The methods in this case coincide with the old mediaeval blue blood requirements. New party members become the children of the party members! - Simple, efficient and easy to perform! The son of a non-party member has little chances to get a party card except for very special merits. This hereditary rule is apparently contagious because it is being in force also for a variety of communist controlled prestige unions - union of writers, union of composers, etc. This is part of the party struggle against every form of intellectualism the innocent included. At schools and universities the lecturers are being compelled to take care of the weak and mediocre students (those who need "help") and that is in striking contrast to the education policy in USA. The mass media are calibrated at the level of the most mediocre people. When in the morning the radio speaker says:

- Twenty minutes to eight o'clock, he usually explains: That means, to eight o'clock remain 20 minutes...

A Supreme Council president said in one of his radio-speeches:

These results are the *fundamental basis* that is the *basis* of our socialist development.

Such a policy of straight *idiotization* is not very fertile but it is in permanent circulation due to the monopolistic structure of the communist society. The only type of pluralism that exists is the moral pluralism. Moral is different as far as different spheres of society are concerned. For the top men in the Party there is a total permissiveness very similar to that of Louis the 14<sup>th</sup> but even the latter would have felt a beggar if he knew that in small Bulgaria for his colleague T. Zhivkov has been built over 70 official and non-official residencies. Similar is the situation in Rumania under Ceausescu or in Poland when Guerek was in power. In fact communism everywhere gravitates incessantly to the regalia of the absolute monarchy.

The moral pluralism is a segregation of highest degree, even apartheid looks democratic compared with it. When in Gorbachev's Russia a non Party member was appointed on a ministerial post this news had the effect of a white raven. The state of discrimination and apartheid against the intellectuals is one of the few stable achievements of the communism. Still at the beginning of the Soviet regime the well-known geologist Vernadsky wrote in his diary:

"The intellectual potential of the party members is lower than the average..."

Frightened by such an evaluation the communist leaders undertook draconian measures to destroy every really competent institution of experts. The highest experts in all fields have been declared the central Committee of the Party and its Politburo.

The party led counter-intellectualization has serious moral consequences too. It opens the door to the arrogance everywhere. Honest people are in a state of permanent oppression that hinders creativity and promotes crawling abilities. All this is said to be for the sake of the only true social theory, the Theory of Marxism-Leninism. But is it a regular expression "The only true social theory"?

## MARXISM AND THE ROLE OF THE KING

In scientific terms the insistence that a theory is the only true one is meaningless. According to Carl Poper a theory might be corroborated or rejected but never proved. It is obvious that when you say "All swans are white" (one of Poper's examples) you actually make a theory. The white swans you meet support your statement but a single black swan falsifies its validity. The black swan destroys it totally. From these elementary considerations it is clear that Marxism has nothing to do with the scientific meaning of a "Theory". It is a pseudo-scientific political mystification addressed to specially pre-treated acceptors. The declarative style of the Communist Manifesto is digestible with no mental efforts. It is a pleasant story stuffed with scientifically sounding terminology that makes it attractive but not true. Just as the role of the King in a tragedy is being performed much more by the court-actors than the King himself, the marxism is going round the world not by its immanent qualities but by the united efforts of its worshippers. This pretension is incompatible with any kind of science but the "rules" of Anti logic allow such type of verbal misuse. Thus a series of contradictory, pompous and false prognoses flood the world. Those of the communist "ideologists" who are clever enough restrict their forecast to the distant future (so it is impossible to being checked) but there are many like Gorbachev, Mao and others who predict exactly when the ideal communist society will be ready for consummation. The year 1980, when according to Khrushchov USSR would have enjoyed social perfection, coincides ironically with a profound crisis.

So Marxism is not a theory. It is a position. The totalitarian forgery of words needs mystery to be trusted, just like in Byron's "Don Juan":

"Her thoughts were theorems, her words a problem

As if she deemed that mystery would enoble them."

("Don Juan", Canto I, XIII)

I should confess that as far as I am informed K. Marx had never pretended that his works formed a scientific theory. In this respect he is innocent. There is evidence that he himself disagreed to being regarded as author of a theory and had scarcely anticipated that his ideas would found later one of the strongest dogmas in modern times. The later complete ideological mess has been born after the communist propaganda begun to use "scientific terminology" to look more convincing. Nevertheless one should pay tribute to Western sociologists and sovietologists who in concert started criticizing and rejecting The Marxist dogma. They forget what Blaise Pascal says:

"To reject God is to accept His existence."

Marxism itself has exerted some positive signs in its capacity of critic against 19th century social structure: profound vision, rich information, professional data processing, immense ability to draw general conclusions. However it has never been applied for good in any one real case! This reflects the gravest political deficiency of Marxism. It works only in a negative plan. Tried as a constructive factor it proved to be absolutely harmful. That is why the communist programmes are being dedicated exclusively to distribution of goods but where do the latter come from? Usually they are already produced or had been expropriated previously from the capitalists. The attempts to spread Marxism as a basis of an effective goods production system have already irreversibly failed, irrespective of all sorts of "reforms", "new lines" and



"revolutionary" party decisions... An ordinary man, the father of the soviet writer R. Gamzatov, says (in broken Russian):

Если урожай будет, пшеницу собирать будем,  
Если урожай не будет, пленум собирать будем...

( If there will be a good harvest, we shall collect the grain;  
if there will not be a good harvest, we shall summon a party plenum...)

The tragedy of Marxism deepens further by the stubborn and silly refusal to seek a real way out from the permanent crises. The whole world witnesses the remarkable lack of original constructive ideas in the communist countries. All proposals of the so called "progressive" party activists are very easy to identify as extracted from the current Western social, technological and legislative practice. This is typical for all communist countries except North Korea. The latter is a stable zero point if one would like to compare the different "communisms".

It is very interesting that communist crises feed with problems not only Western politologists but also many social "scientists" inside the Soviet block. In this respect I see a resemblance with cancer diseases which the rumor says had nourished more people than they had killed. I cannot keep wondering too how seemingly serious specialists can maintain that Lenin had developed Marxism further. In fact Lenin in a categorical way had substituted the classical utopian thesis of Marxism for the more practical thesis of political power: no dreams for ideal achievements ( they are more appropriate for adolescents), the central problem of every revolution according to Lenin being the problem of political power. That is an ingenious retreat from Marxism, a transformation of an abstract humanism into a promise for political profit.

A strange phenomenon arises when the ideas become governmentally supported. They begin to wither. The gap between spiritual longing and

political reality, generally speaking, is not a rarity in History but in communist countries its dimensions are immense. That is so because the governmental policy is practically out of whatever criticism or control. Even a modest type of opposition is impossible. The latter did exist in some countries but soon was physically annihilated in a demonstratively brutal manner, the case of father Popilushko in Poland being a typical example. Under communism the enemy's death is always being regarded as an opportunity to frighten the people. That is why political trials are being so much over-saturated with "sincere confessions" of the court victims. Practical de-ideologization is being further followed by disappearance of all moral considerations about "good" and "evil". An absurd and fragile social system is being formed that consists of three independent and even non-interacting parts:

1) Pseudo-ideology (Marxism, humanism, well-doing, welfare, well-being, ever-rising prosperity, etc.)

2) Pseudo-democracy (one-party system, police-rationed liberties, forced official sermons declaring obedience to Communism)

3) Pseudo-administration (the heaviest bureaucracy in the world, corruption, organized crime, lawlessness, total shortage of goods).

These three pseudo-structures are the ruins of the three Babylonian towers that communist governments had been trying to erect in vain. However, in contrast to the Bible story, where the languages are different, in the communist world the difference is not in the language but in the very meaning of the words themselves. This is much more difficult to correct.

## MARXIST PHILOSOPHY - CLEVER OR CUNNING?

Chemistry, Physics, mathematics, Biology have a clear cut profile as separate branches on the tree of human Science, but what about Philosophy? What is it? Is it a self-service of the thought that has nothing to do with the material world? Philosophers will ever quarrel on this important point. A peaceful definition on the subject would say that philosophy is the history of all philosophical systems. It is a tautology as all peaceful definitions are. However Philosophy is not peaceful at all. Its very essence is confrontation. In this respect it resembles Poetry where each poet starts always from the beginning. Poets never continue what is being created by their predecessors. As a conflict of views and of abstract ideas Philosophy appeared in ancient Greece but it has little to do with practical politics. The attempt of Socrates to link wisdom with politics had been durably unsuccessful. The encyclopaedists in the eve of the Great French revolution renewed this attempt what then seemed less dangerous. This type of activity, that ironically might be called "experimental philosophy", is being regarded as ideological invitation to the approaching social disturbances. This assumption is based probably on the naive Latin expression:

*"Poster hoc, ergo propter hoc."* (After that, that is, because of that).

From a scientific point of view there is no proof at all. In my opinion one might say with the same lack of foundation that Chekhov had provoked World War I because many politicians of that time had read his short stories...

A repetition of French encyclopaedism was the ambition of marxist revolutionary thought to imitate the brilliance of Diderot, Rousseau and D'Alembert but on an enforced materialistic basis. It was successful in many

respects. Marx and Engels, modest and sincere, did not claim any originality in philosophy, German classical philosophy being the direct source where their *Weltanschauung* concepts originate from. However this fact is known to a pretty little part of their followers. The majority of them do hope that Marxism is an original most "progressive" philosophy and fundament of the "best progressive ideology of working people" and a political compass to show the right direction of the communist party to the brightest future kingdom of Justice. This fabrication is of course too obvious and vulnerable to sustain any criticism.

The first step of every communist party after coming to power is to ban in an administrative way any attacks on Marxist philosophy probably because the latter is being considered highly fragile. This is the most inefficient method to preserve an achievement that pretends to be scientific.

Communism is parasitizing solely on forced enthusiasm regardless of the results. What communists call philosophy has actually nothing to do with any philosophical system. For instance Hegel's philosophy is being introduced at all school levels by the following mould:

Hegel had created a system of idealistic philosophy that K. Marx and Fr. Engels turned over to put it on a right materialistic position...

This poor, non-causal statement is being repeated incessantly by old and young people and even by children. It has become something like a mason code word helping communist supporters to recognize each other. Stalin himself paid special attention to this philosophical "basis" in his "Short Course of the Soviet communist Party History", adding a supplement where in form of commandments postulates how ordinary people have to understand "Marxist - Leninist philosophy". The fruits of this method ripened mostly during the bloody purges in USSR and in Maoist China but they by no means are an

exclusive Chino-Soviet patent. The multi-purpose applicability of communist-shaped Hegel's philosophy (irrespective of whether it is upside-down or not) would become clearer if one would compare the Marxist progressive ideas with Hegel's political thesis that the very top of political development is the monarchy of Prussia...

It is well-known that in communist countries everything is being prescribed and directed by governmental institutions. However it depends totally on the qualities of the sub-structures whether a decision will go through the multi-step hierarchy unchanged. Often the various degrees of active incompetence interfere in such a way that the initial intention is impossible to identify with the final results. In this respect communism is once more substantially different from nazism. Hitler, though a political monster, selected talented people to collaborate with and his information channels worked beyond any reproach. Being based on mediocrity Stalinism suffered heavily due to all sorts of everyday misunderstandings. A permanent chaos exists in the communist world what few Westerners, if any, could imagine or understand. As a whole communism is not only an evil, it is a disorganized evil - a circumstance that people are facing with some relief because certain of them may get some profit from the errors in the dictatorship's machine. "Marxist philosophy" still pretends to be the only "scientific know-how not only explaining the world but also helping to change it..." There is no other ideology so heedlessly associated with malformation of words.

## THE COMMUNIST PROPAGANDA - A MISINFORMATION MACHINE

The method of a total war is being permanently implemented by the communists in the activity they consider of highest importance - the propaganda. Its main task is to present communism not only as the best type of social structure but also as the unique good one. The details of this policy are very non-professional, sometimes even repugnant. Directed to mentally restricted creatures, communist propaganda does not deal with long treatises but concentrates predominantly on a variety of short slogans that in a synoptic way reflect the poverty of the communist thinking:

"From one dream to another!"

"Long live People's militia - the only support of the communist government!:"

"The heroes died to live we!", etc.

This sloganophilia is widely popular in all communist countries, perhaps less in Poland and Hungary, whereas in USSR, Rumania, Cuba, Bulgaria, China, Viet-Nam and North Korea it is *conditio sine qua non*. In China there is a whole system of linked together slogans that are put to notes and they are being sung regularly by mass of performers. The slogans and other low level surrogate of the common sense create a general feeling of political fatigue and so an anti-propaganda effect is being produced. The social results are just the opposite to those desired. An acquaintance of mine used to say:

-I don't believe anything published in the communist journals and newspapers except the calendar date.

He was however still further disappointed when one day he discovered that even the date of the party newspaper was mistaken...

Almost all subjects of the vast communist empire have become allergic to every kind of red propaganda. It is worth mentioning that even when the mass media tell the truth it is being accepted with suspicion until it is confirmed by reliable Western sources. I cannot imagine a more clear cut defeat on the ideological front.

In a democratic society this state of things is not only intolerable but even impossible. Under communism it is part of a system built entirely on monopoly and discrimination. This "*status quo*" is enormously strong. The communist trend to erect verbal structures without any slightest contact with Justice plays the role of a social offence and humiliation. This acts also as a repulsive factor. On the other hand it makes people more obedient because they look united around an inevitability. Here I see the cardinal difference between Western and communist type of propaganda. The first one is a persuasion, the second one - a threat.

The local people have no choice. They are being machine-gunned every day with propaganda boredom that deprives human beings from the will and from their readiness to resist. This contributes to the creation of a regime based on blind subordination and planned inefficiency. Like all other red political incentives the communist propaganda is not being presumed to inform and to explain but to chatter.

"Stalin in all languages means peace!"

This slogan ought to have presented a mass murderer as a saint. It still circulates under different modifications with regard to other communist tyrants like Castro, Ceausescu, Pol Pot, T. Zhivkov...

The desperate scientific, technical and economic stagnation of communism requires revision of the current propaganda method but nobody knows how to do it. The party bosses would like to transform the iron curtain into a curtain of fog and so "glasnost" was born. The demon is being disguised to look like a peaceful angel though, of course, temporarily. The most interesting point in this respect is that the new leading positions are given to the same old guard of killers. The officials who proclaimed the end of imperialism, capitalism, etc.(in Bulgaria for instance big pensions are being paid to a great number of "fighters against fascism and capitalism"), now turned to become champions of the co-existence and co-operation.

It seems to me that the internal communist absurdity is being inserted more and more on the international political stage where for the sake of propaganda purposes it is proclaimed as a "new form of thinking". Thus one may imagine that the thinking is just like linen that could be renewed periodically. Nevertheless this attempt obviously gained some positive results especially among mentally low standing people.

The very existence of state supported lie-producers causes detestation mostly among the youngsters. The double standard in evaluating every fact and every event, manifested arrogantly and without any shame, kills the hope and even the faith. When one looks at the recent organizers of mass-killings presenting themselves like defenders of a "new peaceful political line", one gets an impulse to swear and to vomit. Sometimes the quality of arrogance is remarkably high. General Scévitzki who led the Polish contingent of troops during the invasion in Czechoslovakia(1968) modestly said 20 years later before the Parliament that this crime had been "a mistake"... Now this champion of inhumanity is a successful candidate for a ministerial portfolio



under *Solidarnost* ! How contemporary sound the verses of the Russian writer Nekrassov:

"There had been even worse times  
but never more treacherous."

The social atmosphere of the communism is mute like an aquarium. This silence however is full of internal pressure and the government is rather frightened than surprised when its citizens exploit every opportunity to abandon the communist "paradise". Thousands of Russians, Bulgarians, Germans, Poles, Hungarians, Cubans, are ready to lose all their property and go to the West. This inflicts enormous damages on the propaganda system and it is in a strange way omitted by Western mass media. It must be pointed out that the most interesting moment in the emigration business is not whether the people are *forced* by torture to leave but just on the contrary - why the people leave communism voluntarily. According to the communist propaganda the refugees are crazy creatures who risk their lives to get rid of "the most progressive type of society in the world".

If the power of a government is measured by the degree of lawlessness it can endure, the communist governments will be among the strongest ever-existed. That is shameful but communism is immunized against shame.

## THE MEN THAT CORRUPTED COMMUNISM

Communist attitude toward Marx and Engels is an irreversible adoration. The deviation from the original concepts is usually being called revisionism and in the red jargon that is worse than a mortal sin. Practically however the real socialism always deviates from the Theory. The red terror, personally approved and even initiated by Lenin in 1917, has settled in the communist empire not for a short time but permanently. There are periods of political exhibitionism in the Soviet block when the terror is being used as a banner and many people are proud of it. Poets praise it, e.g. Mayakovsky:

"Come on, you have the floor, comrade Mauser!"

Sometimes terror waves are ebbing and a perestroika appears as a real glorification of Lie. However it is difficult to judge whether the tragedy of enslaved peoples is not being worsening when a beam of light raises non-realistic hopes.

The communist society is oscillating between the dead-locked horizons of dictatorship that vary in form but never in essence. The anti-human party machine (a unique tool for annihilation) never stops pursuing its final goal: *de-personification* of Homo sapiens. Lenin, Stalin, Trotzky, Bukharin, Zinoviev, Andropov, Chernenko, Gorbachev are different masks of the same demon: the communist tyrant. The eternal slogan proclaimed by Lenin is still in force:

"The senior problem of each revolution is the problem of Power!"

This is the reason why the turn down of Communism is impossible to achieve through a coup d'etat in the summits.

Historians regard usually revolutions as a measure to solve social crises. For the communists the revolution is not a mean but a goal. The new

life that is being expected to come is promised to provide an easy profession of choice for everybody. Unfortunately this favors predominantly only the high ranking party members. As already mentioned Bukharin had become Secretary of the Academy of Sciences. On what ground? - because he is said to be a revolutionary of merits. For the same reason Stalin had been glorified as prominent specialist in Philosophy, Philology, Agrobiolgy, Economics, etc. This is tragic because it is being multiplied nation-wide. Millions of illiterate impostors have occupied the positions of the real professionals. A very important point is that they are well aware of their incompetence and that is why they suffer from misanthropic complexes to the very marrow. An enormous anguish is obsessing them, caused by the feeling that they may lose their power. The unique force of Fear to unite people works incessantly under Communism. This factor is responsible for the formation of a hermetically closed society. The group of privileged members are being fed by slavery labor and they reproduce themselves on the basis of personal loyalty to the ruling communist leader. They actually are an incarnation of the nazis slogan:

"Ein Volk, ein Reich, ein Führer!"

(One people, one country, one leader!)"

In the Soviet empire even the fashion was strikingly different from that in the Western world. Presently however the pressure of modern trends is gathering momentum and the communist states are no more a completely isolated. The shadow economy is being growing and its branches cover the whole red society. Mafia-like structures comply very good with the government-protected crime institutions and they soon established profitable business-like relations! Cases of high level bribery and blackmail have been revealed in ex-USSR (Tschurbanov, Aliev), Bulgaria (Ognian Doinov ), Cuba

(The narco-business group), etc., to mention a few. Thus both official and underground terror activities are already being united on the common ground of Crime.

The yield to corruption is easier because of complete lack of efficient control. Moral breaks are being proclaimed petty-bourgeois prejudice. Party bosses are being given the right to decide what is good and what is bad according to their personal interests and to present the latter as interests of the Party. Many still remember the words of Mao:

-We will go on sending narcotics to capitalist countries to accelerate their death...

In that way moral justice is being permanently separated from "communist justice" - this operation has enormous consequences for the whole communist world, becoming one of the major factors of its disintegration.

It is well known that in the Communist Manifesto Marx and Engels sarcastically emphasize that under the Capitalism everything might be subject of trade, the moral principles included. Nowadays this statement like a boomerang is being returned with its full scale validity to communist society. Many communist activists are being ready to sell, and they sell !.. - every state property in their reach if they could find a client. They sell cheap! The majority of the so called "economists' trials" demonstrate that state interests are sold out for nuts, the bribes being overcoats, pocket calculators, golden rings or Swiss watches!

The bribery system impregnates thoroughly the whole communist block. The racketeers held even a congress dedicated specially to topics of common interest. Their budget is being estimated to reach tens of billions \$!

The "perestroika"-induced resurrection of organized crime needs sociological and political attention. It proves once more that the triumphant Stalinist period did not heal the deep controversies in the red society but just suppressed them by brutal force. The partial relaxation of the grip prompted immediately the appearance of all old problems. In this respect it should be pointed out that organized crime pretends even for some amount of patriotism. The criminals try to present their activity as a form of protest against the tyranny of the central government. Taking into account that they are controlling a considerable part of the shadow economy one should confess that their pretensions do not lack some real foundation.

A most fertile factor of corruption among the ruling communist hierarchy is surely their almighty incompetence. They think that every complex national or international problem can be solved by means of a party talk between revolutionaries. The inevitable mistakes and fear of responsibility provide excellent opportunities for blackmailing by criminals. Gradually a vicious circle arises presided by His Majesty the Mutual Fear. Only a very bad chance might destroy such a strong union.

The fans "Perestroika"-like reforms fail to consider an important circumstance. Due to their ignorance in politics they do not see that the so called Community of free nations is being totally built on violence and crime. Proud nations with a long history like Ukraine, Georgia, Armenia, Hungary, Poland, Moldavia, Afghanistan, etc., were pressed to obey communist centralism by a terrible misuse of force.

The communist experience in this field is inherited from zarist Russia - "the big prison of nations" (according to Stalin's definition). The forcibly amalgamated structures have nothing in common with democracy and it is not serious to believe that a conglomerate obtained by means of colossal pressure

would remain the same if the pressure would be released. This simple and very logical idea seemed strangely remote to the communist leaders and they had to pay high price for their political shortsightedness. Gorbachev thought that it is enough to say:

-Now we are not only Stalinist-style brothers but brothers indeed! - to win the confidence of the enslaved peoples. And further:

- Welcome to the community of world socialism! Enjoy our new-born democracy!

Slavery is not easy to forget even for thousand years. The Party gave only several months. One or other citizen may forgive the communist crimes but not the nation as a whole. Nations never forgive national humiliation. At the 19th Party Congress in USSR (1949) Stalin said that the fallen national flags should be raised by the communists. Obviously he appealed to the propagation of the World revolution. However as usual the prophecy failed. The world witnessed a nationalistic tension in the Soviet Union that had no parallel. The "brotherhood" of nations exemplified by USSR, Yugoslavia, Rumania, Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia proved to be a very bitter Anti-utopia. Even the so called old communists see yet that Communism is nothing else than a system of social deterioration. Its suicidal economy however is not already a local factor. Gradually it appropriates global significance. Nobody dare say that 35 million km<sup>2</sup> territory being run in a destructive way could be tolerated without any damages to the planet as a whole. Communism becomes problem connected with the world survival and this does not depend on the will of the politicians. I think the danger is increased by the apparent indifference of Western people. Perhaps they accept the communist economic havoc as a big but tolerable evil. The leading Italian social democrat Julio Andreotti praises Gorbachev in a purely religious manner:

-God save him!

Unfortunately personal relations have little to do with those millions of people who spend their days in queuing for every kind of goods in the communist "paradise". In this respect the "perestroika"-like reforms are not a peace treaty with people, they are only a truce, an attempt to overcome the tragic economical disorganization that has infiltrated the red society. And by Western help if possible... In certain degree Party leadership succeeded in differentiating the old propaganda machine from the new method with its multi-facet appearance. Highly voiced swears against Stalin are exported in huge amounts but meanwhile nothing has changed within the party organizations, within KGB-system and the Interior Ministry. The honor of the dead leader is sacrificed for the sake of his own disciples, desperately competing now to get the upper hand in the Administration. May be the fact that the foreign debts of Eastern communist countries have reached an average of \$ 1 000 per capita prompted the release of such resources of kindness, never supposed to exist in the communist system. They are ready now to "co-operate" with everybody!

An important indicator showing that communist liberties never go too far is the attitude toward the allied countries. It is subject of permanent and very severe censorship. An example: 300 000 Bulgarian Turks left for Turkey - the whole world concentrates its attention on this hot point but the socialist countries keep silence. Further example: Hungary conflicts Rumania in connection with the fate of the ethnic minorities - the Soviet block is silent. GDR protests against Hungary that allows tens of thousands Eastern Germans to go to West Germany through Austria - no comment from the communist countries.

Evidently irrespective of all noise about democratization, the communist propaganda retains its totalitarian character.

The party propagandists possess another interesting peculiarity: they are incapable to detect details and to analyze them. Their efforts are usually concentrated on a single magic expression designated to "solve" all problems. In China it was either "the big jump" or "let the one hundred flowers flourish!" In USSR the magic words exist under the supremacy of "reconstruction", the latter being introduced still by Lenin. Stalin resurrected it in the 30s when he raised the slogan:

"During the reconstruction the decisive role play the professionals!"

(The popularization of this slogan coincides with the period of mass murders of professionals...)

After Stalin's death the "perestroika" and "reconstruction" were often used by N. Khrushchov, later by L. Brezhnev, but its mature years are being linked with the time of M. Gorbachev.

The above mentioned "magic words" are in fact "words - killers", their permanent usage wears them out very soon and deprives them of any real significance. Each normal person understands that only pronouncing "perestroika" you cannot nourish the people. However just that seemed to be the most important thing the communists were aiming at. The "perestroika" is the communist substitute for the ancient "Abracadabra" and with the same efficiency.

Sometimes it occurs to me that all those top men who run Communism are not enough so stupid as their deeds are. Perhaps they are good companions, good *paterfamilias* and excellent husbands but when they are being assembled together in party groups their productivity of faults increases enormously. They follow the party prescriptions because if not they will be accused in revisionism.



The party regulations are both preposterous and severe. They are a mockery with any type of democracy and those who believe in communist parties' transformation into real parliamentary organizations are either blind or victims of self-deception. Who are the contemporary critics within the red parties? - They are the residue of human material left after countless purges and vetting. These dissidents are not innocent. They are only repentant. Their repentance is not for blunders but for crimes. I dare not decide if this is good or bad. As King Philip in Schiller's play "Don Carlos", one is searching for a single pure human being among the communist party bosses, but in vain. The possible candidates had been already caught and dismissed or hang in time. On this point the communist party does not allow any ambiguity. Yeltsin, Sakharov, C. Rakovsky, Anna Pauker, T.Kostov, L.Rajk, Slansky, Liu Shau Tsi, I.Nagi, etc., had been involved for long periods of time in the dirty business of the orthodox communism. Later they withdrew from it in a certain degree and that is why we have respect for them. In my opinion however much more merits deserve those who never surrendered to the communism, though under the dictatorship the Innocence always has to disguise itself in order to survive. There is no space for the innocent in the Soviet block except in the cemetery. This situation should be changed in a positive direction before whatever democracy could become real. Then new names will arise and also new people who will have no need to repent.

## THE ARGUMENTS OF BLOODSHED

Bloodshed is abundant in communist countries. It has never been subject to abstinence both by politicians and military men. I exclude the periods of civil war and nevertheless the victims executed by the red butchers are many millions. One might hardly accept that this well-known homicide is being initiated by blood-thirsty monsters only. In fact the type of organization is that matters but not the particular persons. Those who give orders must be distinguished from those who perform the executions. I doubt if Leo Trotsky would have dared to kill 2 000 workers by his proper assistance. He only said:

-No pity!

The peaceful meeting in Astrakhan had been further encircled by the Red army soldiers and all participants had been shot to death. The year is 1921. Later Yakhir (he had been murdered during Stalinist purges - now rehabilitated) offered an indiscriminate killing among the Cossacks for *prophylactic reduction* of their unreliable population... With minor corrections this policy is in force until very recently. The murders in Georgia in 1988 are being performed in a similar way. Now the mass graves begin to talk. Are the hundreds anonymous dead found in Hungary, many thousands in Poland, many millions in Ukraine, Belarus and Russia, happier with their *post mortem* rehabilitation? The party is going to become a party of the dead.

In a democratic society, to reveal a crime and its authors means to start an investigation. Under Communism, to publish the facts about a state commanded massacre is to close the case. Sometimes criticisms against bloodshed are being accompanied by an attempt to mitigate the ferocity or even to excuse it. Khrushchov in his memoirs tells an interesting story:

During the war he, in his capacity of High Military Council member, ordered a Brigade commander to engage his troops against a German position on Stalingrad front. The officer had fulfilled the task in full scale and had taken war-prisoners .

-Where are they? -had asked Khrushchov.

-I shot them...

-But why?

-Well, I didn't know what to do with them.

"That was, naturally, a mistake", concludes Khrushchov.

Nothing more! This almost peaceful acceptance of the crime as an unpleasant but inevitable side of human behavior is what frightens me most when investigating the victorious communism. An immense hate is to be found in the roots of many seemingly non-motivated crimes. Hate is accumulating in everyday life, dispersed in small but important amounts, and it is difficult to understand how and why completely unknown people readily hate each other without any serious reason.

-The hate should not be spoiled in vain, - says C. Baudelaire. However nobody listens to him.

Hate is the cheapest expendable supply in the communist world. It must be emphasized however that not only Communism is its prime reason. Huge explosive areas of hate appeared on the Globe long before the October revolution. Great Britain, France, Russia, Spain , Portugal, Holland, USA, Turkey, China build up stable traditions in slavery production. Their empires in fact are structures of disproportion both historically and geographically and they prepared the gunpowder for the Bomb of Communism. Most of them took a democratic way of development whereas Russia and China with their immature capitalism degenerated to Stalinist type regimes. An inferiority

complex in politics prompted the communist bosses to introduce unprecedented terror inside the whole Soviet block. It is strange how vulnerable to dictatorial influence the democracy is! You see your good neighbor to report on you and you find this natural because otherwise he has to go to prison. In Communism lawlessness always coincides with injustice and that makes people sincere enemies of the regime. Thus the ever-existing silent resistance provokes further vigilance by the side of the government and the bloodshed becomes necessary too often.

The one party system, no doubt, facilitates the speedy triggering of force. The communist party has only accomplices and enemies. It has no advisors and friends, being all alone with its permanent fear of changes.

The communist leaders identify themselves with the power of the Party. V.Maiakowsky majestically insists ("Lenin"):

"When we say Lenin,  
we understand the Party,  
When we say "The Party",  
we understand Lenin..."

Thus, the dense atmosphere of personal interests within the Party provides good soil for the appearance of communist activists ready to use the party gun still by a remote hint of a danger. The extent of the bloodshed done is always intentionally over-sized to stop not only the imaginary enemies' activity but to paralyze even the thought of it. There is some cruel communist logic in this method. If the state of internal party leadership is at stake then the ferocity is being multiplied by ten: Trotsky was killed with a pickle-axe, Ordzhonikidze was forced to commit suicide, T. Kostow was hang, L.Nagy too.

Party structure is always a pyramid with variable content but with constant form, the ascent being a typical Darwin biological model of internal

struggle for existence: no prisoners of war. Only the conquerors remain alive. The fates of ex-politburo members are an eloquent example. The primitive level of political life under communism needs also a primitive model of interpretation. I wonder how much sophistication is involved in some studies on communist system, whereas the things are simple: ruthless passion for power at any price. Asiatic absolute monarchies are being copied by communism in every possible detail in its blood-thirsty policy. The introduction of this policy in Europe is the worst practical result of the whole "revolutionary wave".

If one would analyze the mechanism of interaction between the different ingredients of the communist system one would discover that it is rather chaotic. The chain of interdependence is being usually safe only to the first link and that is why the confidence level is not being spread any further. An immense feeling of insecurity is almost permanent. The intensification of class struggle, invented by Stalin, reflects exactly its multi-facet nature where no sober decisions are conceivable (China-1989). Avidity for bloodshed is to be seen in USSR (Lenin, Stalin), Hungary (Rakosy, Kadar), Albania (Enver Hodja), Rumania (Ceausescu), Cuba (F. Castro), Bulgaria ( G.Dimitrov, V.Chervenkov, T. Zhivkov), GDR (Ulbricht, Honnecker), Czechoslovakia (Gotwald, Hussak), Viet-Nam (Ho Shi Min), North Korea (Kim Ir Sen)... This is not a defensive act. It is an act of strongest fear. Never will be forgotten the tragic events at Ta Nan Min in Beigin - countless victims under the cover of "struggle against counter-revolutionary forces". The wide spectrum of internal confrontation is being specified by an interesting peculiarity: each winner proclaims the defeated enemy a counter-revolutionary. This label is ascribed to a great variety of people, the children included. Death sentence is being suspended since 1919 (USSR) but nevertheless in 1930 - 1933 official

government documents ordered that even children of 12 are eligible to execution in a number of cases.

The above mentioned cases are no secret. One of them is the "Wheat-bunch rule". According to this rule all children above 12 caught to collect illegally grain during the "Great Hunger" (1930-1933) had to be sentenced to death and executed. No exceptions are being reported.

As an argument the bloodshed is not in permanent use but it is at permanent disposal. This fact is so well-known that nobody could persuade ordinary people that it is no more in force. Many years again and again its ghost will warn the frightened men and women to be silent and obedient.

In a legal society a normal politician is expected to know not so much about what he is allowed to do than to understand well his own restrictions. A communist dictator does not pay the slightest attention to that. He is being permitted to do everything, even the right to look funny. Tito and Brezhnev decorated themselves with orders and medals in such abundance that they became target of jokes echoing throughout the world. When one communist leader heard that in developed countries there is Constitutional Court authorized to suspend the decisions of the government he met this with big surprise:

-Who can contradict my will?!

This question contains in a concentrated form all his political convictions.

Bloodshed might being regarded as a test of exclusiveness (Nietzsche would probably say so). In the communist society it is not. It is a normal social approach, as far as present communist common sense is being concerned, - result of a long development. Many terrorists still intend to use it in a traditional way. In their opinion bloodshed is the highest stage of power and superiority,

these two factors, if we quote Ortega-y-Gasset, being even stronger than the instinct of self-preservation. May be this is the cause of the suicidal attacks.

The communist misuse of human lives has still another interesting aspect. It is the pleasure of tyrants to dominate over personalities standing intellectually much higher than they. To damage, to smash, to liquidate, to annihilate a brain full of bright thoughts is very likely a basic stimulus for many strange looking acts of ferocity in the communist world and it is impossible to be explained with purely political motives.

M. Koltzov, a Soviet journalist, well-known in the 30s, after being given an audience by Stalin, got such a "strange" question:

-Comrade Koltzov, why are you so... clever?

A couple of days later comrade Koltzov is being arrested and shot. His brother, the famous Russian painter B.Efimov, long after hoped that he is still alive.

The Psychology of massacre is a white spot in Science. There is no experimental massacre. That is why only an indirect circumstantial approach might clear up this most obscure labyrinth of the Human Soul where the Cain's sin is being hidden. Communism provoked moral nakedness. Initially planned as a contribution against the bourgeois prejudices, it provides only ruthlessness and cruelty. The butcher-like mentality gets priority over cordiality - several thousand mutilated dead bodies buried in a trench! I think that here we meet facts whose extent is beyond any imagination. Human fantasy cannot comprise them. We are incapable to understand the mass-graves nears Minsk and Katin (until Gorbachev came to power KGB refused to take responsibility) and many others.

All these terrible data are being addressed of course not to the dead but to the survivors. A bloodless guillotine has deprived the latter of any ability

to resist, the lack of resistance being maintained by the memory for the previous massacres.

There is still another concern. The immense amount of innocent victims has contaminated the communism with countless murderers who are free, well paid, and even decorated with high awards! Imagine that you meet every day hundreds of documented killers, shielded by a lawful evil:

"They are not guilty. They only obeyed orders..."

Here I see a most dangerous criminal aspect of the communist regime - the substitution of the Conscience for the sense of Political duty. This type of mediaeval indulgence in my opinion is a 100% crime against humanity. A country, densely populated with killers, cannot be regarded as a stronghold of peace, irrespective of all propaganda efforts. Million of people with proved readiness to kill are a fact not to be omitted by anybody. The "Perestroika" and the "Democratization in Russian style", though peacefully disguised, have no intention to disclose the bloody archives of KGB. KGB considers itself immortal. Even a Russian president, Vladimir Putin, is a fully licensed KGB-creation. KGB "talks" about the compensations of its former victims but it keeps silence about the names of the executors.

In USSR there is a well-paid position - "Personal pensioner of All-union importance". The butcher Khaghanovich at 90 still held it...



## FREEDOM IN SCARLET

- They listen to the radio "Free Europe".
- He likes jazz.
- They have relatives abroad
- His sister has married a foreigner.
- The other day you invited American colleagues at your place.

These are a selection of some of the most frequent accusations that look funny everywhere except in the Soviet block. During the "Perestroika" they have been reduced in number but not for long. Freedom according to communist chieftains needs to be defined and specially restricted. The Marxist definition of Freedom as a "conscientious necessity" is so absurd that it may serve as a measure of the whole communist mentality. Marx tries intentionally to distract the public attention with a false determination. "Conscientious necessity" sounds as something that should be taught and here Communism occupies a top position. Marx postulated the famous "import of consciousness" from outside to revolutionize the proletariat. As a result a Communist empire was born and its most characteristic feature was the total shortage of everything except slavery. There is no other form of society in the long human history with such an extraordinary ability to produce shortages and crises. The disaster has become a permanent way of living. How did the comrades manage to maintain this situation?

The main reason, I guess, is the fear that one might be suspected to be a thinker distinguishable among the grey masses... Thus a Superpower arises whose flag is the Food Digestion and where the subordination is the leading emotion. Even looking at your own pedigree was being considered

dangerous because you might find relatives with non-orthodoxy political past. A cousin abroad is a threatening detail, a brother - a real catastrophe. I knew a man of ambition (his sister married and lived in a capitalist country) who decided to become a KGB informer to obtain some professional promotion. Stalin's statement that "The sons are not responsible for the crimes of their parents" became one of the biggest lies in Soviet history. KGB practice is to imprison all children of repressed parents in specialized "Homes for Re-education of Sons and Daughters of People's Enemies". Each one entering such a "home" is labeled for life with the seal of shame, this being documented in all numerous forms that are periodically to be filled in by all citizens of the Soviet block. Far away from their families these children are being subjected to incredible ideological brain-washing that resulted in a deeply rooted hypocrisy. Otherwise the children knew they would perish. During the Cultural Revolution in China "Re-education" took huge dimensions. A similar situation was to be seen in other communist countries too.

One may suppose that all these devices in the hands of the communists would produce an efficient selection system. In fact the actual results are poor. The Party Nomenclature deals with formulas instead of people and everybody knows that there is hardly anything more volatile than paper information in a totalitarian society like Communism. The population is segregated into two groups: "Ours", e.g. active supporters of the Party, and those who are "Not Ours". This segregation is charged with very much voluntarism. If it occurs to the Party bosses that the obedience to the current party policy is not satisfactory they announce a new incentive for augmentation of the ideological Consciousness. In ordinary language this means further strengthening of dictatorial pressure. A funny situation is being created when the differences in this ideological pulsation between the

separate countries become quite apparent. In 1953 East Germany, in 1956 Hungary, in 1968 Czechoslovakia, tried to introduce some more liberties than existed then in USSR. In 1989 Hungary and USSR were considered "liberal" whereas in East Germany and Czechoslovakia the upper hand had the hard-liners... This process of minute oscillations between left and right, I think, dead-locked the calculations of some Western politicians who met this phenomenon with an eruption of empty hopes for a socialism with human face. Unfortunately when the socialism is in danger the first thing that is being sacrificed is its modest supply of democracy. Every renewed wave of repression is being inevitably accompanied by a thorough investigation of the party members, CVs and with drawing of "organizational conclusions". This is the other name of "purge". The utmost depth of humiliation is being reached when the youngsters have to change their family names to get better chances for realization in life. Many do. This type of moral suicide is being considered normal by the communist public and even by some of the affected parents who readily approve the shameful decision.

The change of names goes sometimes further. When a communist government doesn't like the ethnic content of its population it works out a "Trend for spontaneous re-naming". Millions of people decide at once that they cannot tolerate their present names and ask to be given new more appropriate ones. Such was the case with several hundred thousand Bulgarian citizens with Turkish names. The officials say the Moslems don't want any more their Moslem names and that they are eager to remain Moslems but with Christian names... Who knows? May be the Bulgarian religion policy will serve as a model for the 50 million Moslems in ex-USSR (or for the Moslems in ex-Yugoslavia). If we take into account that the forced change of names violates the very essence of the civic rights then the violation of the dwelling looks

comparatively less serious. The Communism proclaims the right of family home for everybody but doesn't observe it in the slightest degree. Queuing for housing is being considered "normal" if it takes 15 years for obtaining the right for buying a flat! That is why the widely practiced deportations in big cities reveal the basest vices of local authorities. Just as mediaeval conquerors they send in banishment those people whose houses or flats they like most. In 1949 this is being done on a mass scale in Moldavia, Georgia, Ukraine, Russia, Bulgaria, later in Poland and Hungary. In China it goes non stop.

Without any trial or warning you may receive a hand-written piece of paper according to which within 24 hours your whole family has to leave your own home and to move to a distant village for an indefinite period of time. The volunteers to occupy your house are in a hurry and that is why your political affiliation doesn't matter at all. A pure burglary is being presented as an act of political justice. The biggest disaster however is well ahead. Although you are victim of criminal violation your whole life will pass under the catch "Affected by the revolutionary law". This label when inserted in your dossier is actually a death sentence that each party fool may pretend to use for his own profit.

The axe of terror might fall on everyone and you must believe that all is done for the sake of a national ideal that in fact is not national but nationalized. Freedom too is being regarded as a state property not a personal right. Personal rights are being substituted for personal instructions. Personal approach to the Truth is sometimes impossible, sometimes prohibited and sometimes persecuted. In an analogous way Truth (like Freedom) is also being regarded as a governmental property.

Truth is being kept away from people as long as possible. It is revealed only if no other alternative is at hand. Statistics indicate that "Truth" (together with "Socialism", "Happiness", "Bright horizons", "Party") is among the most

frequently used words in the communist political language. A party boss never hesitates to say "Truth" instead of saying the truth. Taking into account that rank and files' party members have nothing in common with real causal thinking. We must agree with a very important fact: the strictly declarative way of oration without any proofs and arguments. Anti-logic is being followed inevitably by anti-grammar. I like very much to read slowly phrase by phrase the works and most of all the speeches of communist leaders. They contradict bluntly any rules concerning "cause-effect" interaction! I got never accustomed to that. Here perhaps one has to search for explanation why no communist can stand whatever form of opposition. This is such an intrinsic feature that in Hungary for instance the Party decided to disintegrate and to acquire a new name instead of accepting a normal co-existence with other political parties. The tiny effigy of Freedom under Communism is impossible to animate. Even the slightest attempt to allow political competition leads to a land-slide defeat for the dictatorship - the Polish experiment in the beginning of 1989 is strongly impressive. From a communist point of view the retreat from the one party system is a suicidal act. I am sure that this is the only right conclusion they are able to draw. The world will admire it.

However there are some obscure moments in Communism as a whole ensuring by secret mechanisms its incredible longevity. Abundant evidence exists proving the fatal and incurable disease of this organized form of human mediocrity but it is still alive. Why? Probably irrational forces have to be summoned to get an explanation.

The dirty bloody works during the most crucial periods of communist rule are being usually delegated to strangers. This method, of course, is not an invention of the reds but the latter have brought it to perfection. Communist history is full of such examples. To smash down the Hungarian uprising in

1956 Khrushchov moved troops from Asia. For the mass executions in Crimea during the Civil war in Russia the boss of the political killers was not a local man but the Hungarian Bella Kun. The foreigners are easy at the trigger. They have no relatives and friends among the victims. Their service is widely used for bloody deeds not only in wartime but also in "peaceful" economy. The newly formed collective farms in the 30s were under the command of specially selected city workers absolutely incompetent in agriculture. The forced collectivization of farming in Bulgaria exerts fantastic scenes of violence by means of which party activists squeeze "consent" from the poor peasants (in the manner of "Union Pacific" times) and their signatures that they "voluntarily" enter the collective farm. A Bulgarian patent in this respect is being still remembered nation-wide: those who refuse to sign are being put into barrels with some crude salt inside and rolled downhill - an exercise much more torturous than one could imagine.

The communist agent in action is a terrible thing. He is not a human being. He is an earthquake. He has no pity, no Motherland, no conscience and no brakes.

The communists do not hesitate to intrude into the most sacred sides of personal and family life. It is a common practice in the whole Soviet block when a civil office deals with personal invitations from abroad. When a scientist had been invited to lecture in Vienna (AENEA) the authorities shamelessly announced that he had broken his leg and although his legs and hands were all right the letter of denial was signed not by him but by some unknown official. If a Western organization invites a Russian violinist to play at an American Concert Hall it is quite natural for KGB-men to say that the violinist in question is "indisposed"...

## THE PROGRESS OF CHAOS

The opinion that every Communist Constitution must be regarded as a form of legalized Lie is so wide-spread that nobody would try to argue about it. Communism allows no privacy. Personal diaries are major objectives of KGB-efforts and once found, may serve as evidence for initiation of political persecutions. A Ukrainian author got 7 years in slavery camp because he had collected data about the public life of General secretary Leonid Ilich Brezhnev. Lighter sentences are unusual. The lack of genuine legal procedures raises a serious obstacle before any attempt to introduce democracy within communist society. You cannot improve something that does not exist at all. Legal problems are solved in party circles and later sent "ready made" to judiciary institutions to get the desired official form. The executive force as always is KGB-apparatus, the very heart of the Party. Much relevant in this respect is the fact that the ruling communist parties agree, if necessary, to abandon their names (in Hungary, Poland) but not to relax the grip on the Interior Ministry. Communism itself as an incarnation of Violence cannot allow any degree of Freedom except by words. I have no reasonable explanation of the stubborn efforts of Amnesty International and of other humanistic organizations that pretend Communist authorities to stop the political trials. Taking into considerations the structure of the Red countries it is very easy to see that political prisoners in the Soviet block are most often masked as criminals. All high ranking Party chiefs insist:

-There are no political prisoners in our countries. Only criminals...

It is obvious that if practical results in this field are expected, the structure of the state mechanisms should be totally reorganized and first the

System of control. The Police is being given full monopoly on interpretation of the Law what in the communist translation means political reliability. Though difficult, one might distinguish those who are imprisoned on political ground in the psychiatric departments but who can put under control the millions of criminals with whom an unknown number of dissidents is mixed? Freedom and Communism are incompatible in the Soviet practice. Count Monte Christo-like cases are something common in the red countries. The disappearance of the Swedish diplomat Wallenberg (since 1945!) shows that a world famous name does not impress the KGB-men either. They keep silence too about the "changes" in the Communist block because they themselves remain intact. They are the stable non-transformed and non-transformable basis of the real power. They are its undisputed representatives. Thanks to KGB the life under Communism is a well-secured discomfort. The new wind of reforms, though imaginary, threatens all KGB-like services and their hyper-sensitivity to any kind of new ideas. The true beginning of this process is the Khrushchov's statement about the so called peaceful coexistence. It is pointless to explain that for the Communism peace is a catastrophe. Look at the party newspapers, journals, speeches, etc., and you will see how saturated with military words are they. "Front", "mobilization", "attack", "battle", "weapons" - all linguistic resources of Communism for "peaceful" purposes are consisted of purely military expressions. They reflect a real situation: the Party is impossible to exist if not fighting against something or somebody. Lenin and Stalin invented its enemies and the communism is still inventing them nowadays although in a hidden form. Prisons empty from political enemies have nothing to do with the real Communism. The prisons are full and they are far from any kind of legal supervision. It is worth mentioning that when after World War II H. Wallace (vice-president of USA) asked to visit a prisoners' camp in



USSR he had been shown a group of KGB-men disguised as "well-doing" prisoners...The high-ranking American guest had been very satisfied.

Lie is a first class necessity in socialist countries. Why? Because in their permanently decaying society there is always something immensely wrong that must be improved or repaired but it is not. That is why it being hidden beyond a lie. The lies are patches on the political dress of Communism. They are indispensable both for import and export and are being perpetually recycled further and higher.

Analyzing my impressions I cannot say that I am going to present Communism only in the negative. However my political good will is insufficient to admire a totally anti-human social system, though forcefully advertised. On this point I am (as many other ordinary people) sincerely envying such talented political equilibrists like Imre Pozgai who managed to become a minister under Janos Kadar (in his capacity of orthodoxy communist) and later to run for the presidency (in his capacity of anti-communist). After the Fouché's era I am dubious if there is another example of cynicism like that. How absolutely right was Jean-Paul Sartre writing "Les mains sales" ("The dirty hands") on a similar occasion!

We are witnessing a jolly interesting maturation of the social attitude towards treason. Treason is being tackled as a practical necessity in politics. KGB-agents make their escape to the West and there they are being offered immediately good jobs at most prestigious institutions. A great number of KGB captains, majors, colonels invaded the editorial boards, broadcasting staffs and publishing houses with their anti-communist scriptures. I do not wonder why they are welcome in spite all information about their former crimes (dirty details are inevitable in certain professions) but why the public disregard the moral consequences? Treason is going to become a profession

as many other vices too, the first stage being its naturalization. Traitors are being accepted everywhere as champions of the peaceful coexistence the morality being simply omitted. The vast number of crimes prevents a more exact evaluation of the separate cases. Terrible events, like Katin-massacre of Polish officers by KGB during World war II, are being discussed now in the communist countries and the Stalinist book-keepers are wondering if the number of the victims (14 000) is not negligible enough to be overlooked. Terror is always stronger than the bewilderment it causes.

Chaos everywhere! This is a very convenient communist practice. It enables the leadership to take sudden decisions without risking to challenge any established rules. What else than chaos contains an "explanation" like:

"The world imperialism and its contempt servants provoke our shortage of foods".

Meanwhile a great number of defects within the Party and the Government are being related to similar meaningless expressions. If you would read the so called political analyses of current events you could not keep wondering how comically big generalizations they contain. Communism links every damaged roof of a house with the "global intrigues of the capitalist sharks". It is scarcely possible to remember a single case when worsening of the internal situation is not being linked with some foreign enemy. The speeches of all communist leaders (big and small) are an everyday corroboration of this impression of mine. The communists always prefer Interest and Convenience before Truth and by so doing they in fact accept a very vulgar form of the empiriocriticism - a method decisively rejected by Lenin in his book "Materialism and Empiriocriticism".

"Empiriocritical" or not the "convenience of thinking" in its communist variant is a grave producer of crimes. When in 1922 Stalin

organized an automatic telephone Exchange for the needs of Politburo members he had been helped by a Czech technician who had supplied the network with a bugging facility. The "convenience of thinking" requires in such cases no witnesses alive and the poor technician soon had been executed as a "foreign agent". NKVD-chief Yagoda had been told that the clues will be presented later... They never arrived.

Sometimes we call "chaotic" the primitive forms of social life but that is not quite correct. The primitive taboos are not so numerous and one hardly would risk to abuse them. Under Communism the written official rules and laws are a pure abstraction. Forces very far away from them are what matter. The majority of people got accustomed with this situation and it acquires the status of a social contract! Men and women might disappear and no one will ask why. In Stalinist times they usually did not return. Some people are being "only" arrested. From a legal stand-point both cases contradict legality in an identical way.

The communist deviation from the common sense is not a temporary one. It is inevitable as every blind strategy is. There is no analogous phenomenon in the non-communist world. In the non-communist world, even in openly dictatorial political systems, exists a relatively independent judiciary apparatus. Decision taking in communist society is always very personal. A collective support is being ordered only to make the will of the boss more representative. Protected by words like "All-National"- and "All-Union"-interests the voluntarism is free of any responsibility. This trick is very often used to shield the dirty intentions of a particular leading person. In this respect Communism might be regarded as a Human slaughter house Co with very restricted responsibility. However no one agrees to register it. As a matter of fact Party crimes are difficult to investigate because everything in the

communist society is under its control. Always a well-rehearsed play could be organized by the red activists that will present before an international commission as 100% true everything stated by their ruling comrades. Many years Poland, Czechoslovakia, Cuba, Hungary, Bulgaria maintained the illusion that they tolerate several independent political parties under the voluntarily accepted communist leadership. However the puppets began to rebel... The communist perfidy goes so far that the comrades are ready to compose a bunch of pseudo-political parties to persuade the West in their faith in democracy.

Communist chaos is a restoration of the worst tragedies in human History. Every attempt to penetrate in it reveals similarities with ancient, mediaeval and contemporary massacres. Saint Bartholomew's Night, The Arson of Rome, Irode's crime against the newborns in Judea, all these are easy to be found in the picturesque bloody reign of Communism. People probably have right to ask if God himself has not forgotten His duty allowing a social pest to take such colossal dimensions. An exclusive property of Chaos is its lack of shape what makes it fit for multi-purpose exploitation. Once it is the "savior" of Humanity, another time it is "champion of peace" or an "inhabitant of our common European home". It is the "attacking sword of the working class" too.

Although officially non-authorized, the executive power of the local communist party committees in everyday life is overwhelming. Formally the Party is only an "ideological institution" but it has the decisive word about everything. At the regular local meetings there are always topics in the agenda concerning personal problems of communists and non-communists. The resolutions taken are compulsory for all official institutions. If a young man wants an academic job he must present a long series of documents, 3 party-

members' recommendations included, concerning both him and his parents! If not, the candidacy is not even being considered. Thus, to the numerous political labels used in communist practice, one more is added - a genetic marker. A friend of mine expected with apprehension the session at which his PhD-thesis ought to have been discussed and the main trouble was not about the scientific value of his work but if the local party decision would be positive... A party committee (constituted entirely of non-specialists) votes for a Ph-degree! I think that even the Inquisition had never been so vigilant in this respect.

The party decisions on all possible problems and at all possible levels create a steep increase of stupidity among the population. Everyone is in expectation. Newspapers, radio- and TV- broadcasts inform and instruct how to collect grain-harvest, how to dig out potatoes, etc. No personal incentive is being expected. Neither is it possible. This is the reason why a fruitful year is met not with satisfaction but with disgust. It becomes apparent that Chaos is a good medium for fermentation of decay but it is unsuitable for a creative contemporary society. This simple conclusion took over 70 years to crystallize! On the other hand however Chaos helps Communism to avoid two fatal questions. They are "Why?" and "Who is responsible?" Many ascribe the Chaos-avidity to Stalin. In this respect I would like to remind one of the most cited phrases of Lenin:

"Each house-wife must know how to run the Government!"

The result is very eloquent: 40 million people in ex-USSR live under the Soviet defined level of poverty.

## THE ENEMY

Communism is a natural enemy of Humanity. This is a fact, not a statement. In spite of their low intellectual capacity Red bosses feel this. Their response is the dividing of the human creatures into two groups with non-defined borders. Anti-logic helps them, as usual, to show their remarkable skill of obscurity. A new addressee was born to whom Communism directs its slogans - "the whole progressive world". This preposterous expression was flooding the Soviet block from top to bottom. Then, what is the rest of the world? According to the "comrades" there are two humanities: a progressive and a non-progressive one. From this model the image of the enemy is being drawn in a deductive way as far as the starting point is a pretentious generalization: "non-progressive humanity" (?!). Another approach tackles the problem from the reverse side. It is an induction that incorporates the personal impressions of some communists based mainly on their underground activity. They feel persecuted, threatened, humiliated. Lenin, Trotsky, Stalin, Sverdlov, Castro, Kim Ir Sen, Ceausescu are revolutionaries. It is hardly possible to gather them under a common pathological diagnosis but it is obvious that Communism as a political system is wholly derived from the image of the Enemy. Here is to be found the origin of the fundamental concepts as "dictatorship of the proletariat", "the military organization of the Party", "Merciless struggle against those who do not accept our ideas", etc. How closely this practice resembles the practice of Fundamental Islamism!

The communist camp is being set up, the attacking forces are ready. The only thing that remains to be done is the liquidation of the enemy. If we paraphrase Voltaire we could say:

-If there is no enemy, it must be invented!"

The tragic comedy of the communists begins when they try to explain things by re-naming them and when they force people to accept this fabrication. Labeling of "enemies" and "supporters" is being transformed into a technology that glues stamps on citizen's foreheads and so determines their future. A typical moment is the complete lack of objective criteria - the sign might be stamped without any foundation. Once labeled as Party's enemy each man or woman becomes surrounded with a social vacuum. Practically they are dead.

If the newly declared enemy happens to be an ordinary person the consequences are rather minute. However sometimes he might be a celebrity - writer, scientists or politician. In such cases the mentioning of the enemy's name in society is banned. Popular novels, plays, movies, symphonies are buried. They are withdrawn from circulation like worn out bank notes and nobody is allowed to ask why.

The impressive figure of the Enemy is sculptured by a variety of concepts. The latter are rather variable and depend on many circumstances, both external and internal. Practically everyone may be declared an anti-communist. That is conceivable taking into consideration that most people detest Communism. If an enemy would not being hang or shot there is some hope for political rehabilitation. Stalin himself set free many prisoners during World War II offering them to fight against Nazism. On the other hand highly honored officers are being arrested immediately after returning from the front-line. Often a single word is enough for 10 years in a slavery camp irrespective weather this word is true or not. To say the right thing at the wrong time is the most popular cause of arrest. Sakharov (exiled for years to the town of Gorky), A.Marchenko (6 times sentenced to slavery camps) are very typical examples.

Later the ex-prisoners were given right to speak without restrictions. However in the future, who knows, the prohibition of the free thought may return again. Communist regime is able to afford that through a simple "push the button" mechanism and once more to reveal its real nature.

Enemies are being produced successfully even among the fraternal Western communist parties. The whole communist leadership in Poland had been caught in 1945 and all of its members murdered without any official comment. In 1934 the Third International offered to Stalin a large group "spies" and most of them were readily executed. The reaction of those who remained alive is remarkable. They did not protest. They celebrated their own survival. Unfortunately the wheel of terror went round and round and many "happy" people in 1934 had no more chance in 1937. The political memory is very short and often a pathologic optimism eclipses its clarity. Human beings are always inclined to hope that the evil will pass them. However it does not.

Due to the imaginary enemies the communists are being ready to hide the truth even when it does not concern politics. The exclamation:

"What would say *those* abroad?!" - indicates why many years no information was available about natural disasters, criminal statistics, health parameters, road accidents in the Soviet block. Some improvement is to be seen in this respect during the "Perestroika", though the data are being subjected to severe censorship. I cannot say if this is for the better. So it is still more difficult to assess the real situation. Chernobyl catastrophe in April 1986 might be regarded as a typical example how harmful the "half-truth method" is. The dangerous play with the public health in USSR is far from fair. Three years after the atomic plant catastrophe areas populated with many hundred thousand people are being reported contaminated with more than 100 Ci/km<sup>2</sup> Cs<sup>137</sup> and Sr<sup>90</sup>. According to some authoritative assessments Chernobyl



produced atmosphere radioactivity equals about 60% of all atomic bomb explosions on the Globe. Communism declares atomic war to its own nation! One third of the territory of Belarus is being radioactively contaminated. Once more Communism proved to be an immense absurdity and the ideal "red paradise" turned to be a real hell .

On the other hand the socialist leaders in The Soviet block are used to talk exclusively "in the name of the people". The words that in USA are being pronounced mainly before a court ("in the name of the people of USA", etc.), under Communism are being dispersed everywhere. All nomenclature Party members use permanently the words "the nation", "the people" as if they are their personification.

Communist leaders demonize their neighbors presenting them as a super-natural evil and, strange enough, not without success. Another characteristic feature of theirs is the discrimination of the enemy from the human kind. This motive is being taught at school, universities, kindergartens. Countless movies are being produced where in a tasteless but stubborn manner a ferocious image is being ascribed to the enemy. Just as in most primitive mythologies the communist ideas are being incorporated in idols. Black and white are the only colors used: enemies and subordinates. No neutrality. All the neutrals are being considered enemies. This non-written Constitution of Communism is strictly observed. Old Bolshevik Pyatakov (Party member since 1910 and Soviet minister under Sverdlov), when arrested and accused by Yezhov in counter-revolution, had announced that he himself is ready to shoot his alleged accomplices (his wife included) to prove his own loyalty. The staggering moment in this nasty business is that Pyatakov wanted his proposal to be published in the Party press. He did not keep it in secret. Stalin, of course, did not pardon him. Now it is already proved that, like the

majority of terror's victims, Pyatakov is innocent but from a psychological point of view his behavior is being highly instructive. By the way, in my opinion, a leadership is democratic not when it will not kill people but when it cannot.

One of the most crucial moments in the communist social practice is its balances on the razor of the political reliability. No insurance company, I guess, would risk to cover it. The changes are unexpected and quite in a sudden. At present, for instance, there are some scanty traces of freedom in the ex-Soviet press but a nice morning M. Gorbachev, or Yeltsin, or Putin, or another leader might decide that democracy is being over-dosed. He would summon the media men at a meeting, would reprimand them and the next day the key board of the newspapers would become quite different. On the whole this method is being worked out by Stalin who during a talk with Herbert G. Wells in 1934 said that the Party has no need of opposition the latter being substituted for *self-criticism*. The point is that only the supreme leader can tell what right self-criticism is - a very murderous weapon if being applied properly. Evidently ordinary people can hardly get along all right with it and that is why they are a permanent source of the enemies needed by the regime. They are periodically killed and eventually rehabilitated *afterwards*. In contrast to a normal democratic process the democratization under Communism is an unpredictable phenomenon. It is far from any linear proportionality. Large groups of human beings are categorized as enemies and killed but later when the wave of belligerency subsides they receive again their lost citizenship. Although Communism pretends to have buried the capitalist principle of "demand and supply" its policy against the "enemies" is built up exactly on that principle. The enormous KGB-apparatus needs to maintain permanently a full scale activity. Taking into account that KGB is in fact infiltrating the whole system it becomes clear that the supply of imaginary or real enemies acquires

industrial dimensions. I would like to draw the attention of the public to the fact that in this delicate issue there are some additional indications of a crisis especially as far as low level institutions are concerned. The "enemy-free" establishments are a potential threat for each true Party warrior. Imagine what would happen if a big communist social incentive is in progress but you are unable to name and show to the wide masses a single enemy! That is why Party members begin to economize on enemy resources and I would be hardly surprised if in the near future red bosses would borrow from each other a number of enemies for a short time to fill the ideological gap.

Meanwhile an interesting confrontation arose between Communism and Religion. On one side there is the communist state with all its repressive tools. On the other hand - the religious people who usually are mostly peaceful. The problem is how the Party would fight against an enemy who does not resist at all. History presents an enormous number of bloody indiscriminate assassinations done by communist activists, among the victims being bishops, abbots and other servants to the Church whose only guilt was their religion. Besides, the Church property has been expropriated, subjected to sacrilege and used for the purposes of the local Soviets. Seventy years any sermons in USSR are practically being banned. The post Stalinist restoration is not a matter of conviction but of political necessity imposed by considerations very distant from the problems of Conscience. In Czechoslovakia for example there were periods of very strong restrictions, followed by such curious happenings when local party organizations brought their red flags to the Church for blessing... One cannot deny some sense in the expression:

*-Surprises should be expected from Communism...*

Legends are going about Christian martyrs under the red dictatorship. Among the victims is the Head of the Russian Orthodox Church Tikhon. The exact number of religious men and women murdered, exiled and imprisoned will never become known but it is very large even within the communist proportions. It is really astonishing on what grounds the Party leadership is able to maintain such a fierce intolerance against religion. No explanation is available now but a plausible hypothesis is to be found in a may be unconscious communist desire to compete with God. Like each founder of a new religion the communist classics are supporters of a monistic line, whose essence is being concentrated on "No other gods but me!"

The religious approach to political practice has considerable advantages probated during the Middle Ages when the Inquisition had had big, though cruel achievements. This attractive perspective is being developed in all communist countries immediately after the Kremlin agents have come to power. Political pluralism is incompatible with Communism in the same way as Christianity is incompatible with a pagan idol. Political monism is inseparable from the Soviet society because every non-standard point of view is being regarded as criminal one and its carriers are usually being annihilated. If socialism had a stable political platform one could take it as a starting point for consideration. Unfortunately the only definite feature of Communism is its pretension for incontestable power. It is being manifested in all communist countries which differ on other points considerably between each other. The indiscriminate power of the Interior ministry is the most important indicator to determine whether Communism is gone or not. Remember the "dialogue" between Khrushchov and Beria - the main task was to get the loyalty of KGB. Thus, in my opinion, Poland in 1990 was a purely communist country although its Prime minister was a catholic and surely an anti-communist. The same

qualification is to be given to Hungary irrespective of its decision to change the name of its ruling party and its declaration that a new democratic force emerges.

The communist idea about the enemy is being implemented "ready-made" among the whole population. People are being divided into different groups due to the party qualifications like vegetables. This system is best visible during war times. The rationing reflects a real pluralism. The biggest part of the population in Leningrad had been planned to starve (125 g bread per day), whereas the party bosses got pastries, peaches and oranges. The result? - 900 000 dead, predominantly of hunger.

From my point of view only the image of an enemy may calm the revolt of conscience against the multistage discrimination in Society. Every privilege is an escape from conscience and that is why the affected non-privileged people have to be regarded as enemies, otherwise the self-contempt would be intolerable. It is valid not only for the ordinary people but for the highest ranking as well. An expensive Japanese drug is being applied through injection to the minister but his deputy is given only a pill... Both are privileged but one of them is more privileged, that is all.

To live with privileges is very dangerous. Everyone is surrounded by enemies who feel non-privileged in comparison with those receiving bonuses without merit. The revolutionaries themselves are subject to hierarchy just like the prestigious hotels (up to 5 stars), e.g. in Bulgaria there were 5 degrees of party merits. Their consumers receive accordingly material support in addition to their salaries! At the same time the necessary social "unanimous" approval of this shame is being obtained in the usual forcible way.

Communists call people "comrades" and I cannot keep thinking that here is being concentrated the greatest irony in the world. Those who produce

enemies everywhere are trying to deceive the society with noble words that they made to sound as a mockery. It is being proved again and again that to create an enemy is much easier than to create a friend. The Red block has brought this corollary to perfection.

## BLINDNESS AS AN INTENTION

Our rulers pretend to be faithful followers of Marxist classics. Lenin is their thunderbolt argument with multipurpose application but mainly in the field of tactics. For a strategic goal classics of choice are Marx and Engels. It is astonishing indeed why clever and business-like politicians are completely incapable to understand quite simple phenomena and even to see them. One of these simple things is the problem of private property. Communism is ready to destroy a whole nation and a whole continent (and it did!) without any hesitation if its ideological fabrications might be wrong. Stubborn incompetence, combined with inconvertible ideas, have frozen the society at the stage of the pre-Renaissance economy and the red activists never have been able to find out any positive solution. Property in the Soviet block is being considered an ideological sin. When a "comrade" is to be publicly criticized there are some verbal templates that must be observed. Depending on the gravity of the case the comrade in question might be accused in petty bourgeois attempt to extract personal profit from society and to jeopardize the "great working class ideals". Such accusations are usually fatal. Private property is shameful. It is something similar to love-making - it is agreeable but it must be performed in secret. The official ban of any personal enrichment deprives Communism of impulse for development, giving rise to mafia-like structures. Tall stories are insufficient to mobilize people toward a prosperous economy. Accumulation of passive capitals and valuables is the reason colossal resources of hot money to exist in the Soviet block and nobody knows how and where to invest it legally. This results in a very poor market, lack of goods and inevitable stagnation. Ideological considerations based on the victorious "clarity" of Lenin's plans prevent undertaking of whatever

constructive healing measures. Real problems are being tackled in an abstract and incompetent manner, yet under the label of "the world most progressive science - the science of Marx-Leninism". Disproportion between the tool used and its suitability takes threatening extent. People see desperately clear the errors of their Party establishment which they have no possibilities to influence and that is why they begin to rely upon "the shadow society": "shadow economy", "shadow literature", "shadow art"... In fact these shadow public factors try to imitate the shadow system of privileges that feeds the high-ranking Party Nomenclature.

Red VIPs take all necessary measures to gain private property as much as possible. Like everywhere, under Communism "diamonds are forever". This situation is not going to be changed. It really does exist but no party institution is being allowed to declare its existence. In this respect Communism is full of taboos. Drug addiction for instance was said to be exclusively a disease of the capitalist society. When this pest reached and flooded ex-Soviet block the communists begun to discuss it but only on closed sessions. The point is that the harmful effects in such cases are being announced always as late as possible. The obvious mistakes are immense but the communist officials got hypersensitivity even to the slightest hint about confessing them publicly. The Party "line" is always the right one. This statement is being proclaimed a part of the Lenin's ideological legacy and ordered to be sacred by an all-nation devotion. This is demonstrated in the funniest way: Oath to be a true Party supporter is forced to take each child, pupil, student, soldier. Just like a not very lasting vaccination, the oath is being renewed periodically to persuade the leadership in the reliability of *its people* . So the social life is being gradually transformed in an all-comprising ritual of



loyalty that consumes lots of time. From under-developing communist countries have become under-developed.

The outbreak of political blindness causes fear even when there is no threat. Sometimes the closest friends of the leader quite in a sudden are being denounced as enemies "hidden behind the Party membership card". Shortly before his fall Khrushchov accused his comrades in the Politburo that they are organizing a feud against him and he ordered Mikhoian to investigate the case. His miscalculations deprived him from his political career. Tshaushesku (Rumania) and Zhivkov (Bulgaria) have reached a genuine virtuosity in the political prophylactics. Following the best Stalinist tradition they were periodically renewing the whole team of top officials finding always a pretext. As mentioned earlier, the accusations might be even comic but that does not matter, the point is that they work. When firing one of his deputies Zhivkov showed the argument that the father-in-law of that fellow had had a pub 50 years ago, i.g. his pedigree is not 24 carat proletarian...

Politics everywhere! - This practice leads to an irreversible de-professionalization of many fields in society. No analytical approach is being permitted. Those who risk to discuss topics of importance in a non-standard way sooner or later are being punished. Soviet agriculture specialist Khubenko lost his life during Brezhnev's rule because of his efforts to make kolkhoz production profitable. Obviously he forgot that Profit is connected with Capitalism. Communism produces only losses. Even high ranking party activists are not given the right to express individual points of view (Nagy, Dubcek). The theoreticians of Communism probably are getting enraged when seeing the parallel development of "twin" countries that arose after World War II: East Germany - West Germany, North Korea - South Korea, China – Taiwan - Hong Kong. The gigantic advantage of free market countries

compared with communist ones is an indigestible piece of reality even for the most arrogant red propaganda machine. (Taiwan hard currency reserves for 1989 reached \$ 76 billion what is comparable only with Japanese budget actives). Remembering the definition of Communism as a "Society of Justice where everyone feels happy" we must confess that there is hardly any other form of social system so far away from this definition than the "real socialism" in the Soviet block. Party bosses know well that Communism certainly is a totalitarian regime *par excellence* but they know too that their major task is not to improve but to preserve it. They make desperate efforts to force people to believe that all this complex of anti-natural and anti-human social structures of theirs is *already* become a tradition. This ambition is being supported meanwhile by a crazy desire to build up monuments on every possible occasion dedicated to Party bosses and red activists many of them still alive. Another communist fashion is the re-naming of cities, villages, streets, schools and mountains in pursuit of the same goal. I cannot imagine that there are normal countries where so many monuments are erected *per capita*. In this respect the Soviet block is really a *monumental* one. Like any violation of common sense this communist passion leads to situations that are sincerely funny. Statues of "immortal" Stalin in small, medium and large sizes are millions and now many of them are probably being recycled to serve the newly born necessities for more modern political idols. Khrushchov resisted the beguiling force of political vanity but one cannot say the same for Brezhnev. He multiplied his own bust everywhere in USSR and made some successful attempts to export it to other "fraternal" countries. Especially in Bulgaria the monument of the "small Ilich", opened triumphantly, existed several years until an order was issued (after the death of Brezhnev) arranging its noiseless dismissal. Zhivkov, the Bulgarian Party boss, took the opportunity almost

simultaneously with Brezhnev and erected his own monument in his native town of Pravets where this monument became part of the special museum dedicated to his own prominent person. It is being rumored that recently the monument had been subjected to sacrilege several times and later taken away to be restored later again. Ceausescu was the last champion of the idea that a communist leader must implement governmental investments in a certain number of monuments dedicated to *him by himself*. The thankful fellow citizens of his executed him in due time...

The most interesting moment in this red *monument-mania* is the contrast between the stability of the stuff and the fragility of its destination. A new technology arose - re-utilization of monuments, and this branch of human activity, I think, has no parallel elsewhere.

The progeny of the Party agents presents a big problem in the Soviet block. Stalin awarded to his son Vassiliy position of an Army general. Gromiko granted the Soviet Academy of Sciences his son Andrey. Brezhnev gave to his son the post of a Junior minister in the Foreign Trade Ministry. Gussak (Czechoslovakia) presented a wholesome Ministry to his beloved son. So did Ceausescu when decided to "elect" his son to be the Leader of the Communist Youth Organization in Rumania and, naturally, MP too, where he occupies a seat together with his father, mother, uncle and still another twenty "high-born kinsmen". By the way, one must not forget that the brother of the almighty Hitler was simply a waiter. Thus, the given examples demonstrate some differences between Nazism and Communism...

For almost 40 years Zhivkov made successful attempts to immortalize the members of his family starting from his brother-in-law ( he *made* him an Academician and President of the Bulgarian Medical Academy) and ending with his grandchildren. Zhivkov gave to his son Vladimir (a

pathologic drunkard - the murder of one of his girl friends, done in the state of intoxication, is being ascribed to him) a ministerial seat in the Cabinet, later membership of the Central Committee, etc. Certainly, this is a farce but a tragic one. All these "heroic" achievements are being approved by the party organizations as rubber stamped decisions of the father.

In contrast to Western political practice where face-saving has certain importance, the public opinion under Communism is being arrogantly suppressed, the act of arrogance itself emphasizing the strength of the red leadership. A terrible silence accompanies many of the communist criminal acts and this is a sad indicator of social fatigue. No doubt, there are officials (predominantly local ones) who are able to introduce, though on a restricted scale, some useful incentives, connected especially with city regulations. However they do not feel secure! Every new idea, irrespective of its significance, must come from the top-men, from the Party center. If not, the incentive becomes a clue against its author and the latter may be declared a dissident, a separatist (very dangerous accusation from a communist point of view) and finally a "servant of the world imperialism". This "habit" exists not only in the relations between people but also between organizations. A local Party organization has no right to contact on any occasion another Party organization of the same rank. That might be done, if necessary, but only through the Center. This exciting lack of freedom for a horizontal exchange of ideas and data is of colossal social significance. It paralyses any move toward autonomy. Pre-destination and pre-determination of all decisions actually predispose the communists to believe in strikingly unnatural explanations. Depending on the preferences of the big boss or his close surroundings Soviet countries are being obliged to endure the activities of a variety of masters in parapsychology, telepathy and other magic "sciences" that (like G. Rasputin

during the tsarist regime in Russia) possess considerable influence on State affairs. It is an expression of the permanent communist dream to jump over the real difficulties of everyday life by means of some instantaneous magic force. This conviction is being demonstrated many times by performance of "red miracles". Stalin's expression "there is no fortress that the Bolsheviks cannot take", is spread into the people's mind by the propaganda institutions. If a locomotive tows 30 wagons, the party members will accept this fact as a bourgeois prejudice and they readily will order the number of wagons to be doubled. The railway accidents are being increased but for the Red "paradise" it is no problem. Most important is that at least one train towing two times more wagons than permitted will be welcomed triumphantly. Another type of intentional blindness is the statement (repeated during many years) that socialist currency is the most stable currency in the world! Similar lies are now too obvious to be directly supported. How did they survive for so long? Isolation! That is the right answer. Isolation is one of the few communist strategies that is being perfectly founded. It is closely related to the problem of the Permanent revolution, formerly being ascribed solely to Trotsky but in fact it is a general communist standpoint. The early revolutionary leaders knew that no communist block would be able to win in a peaceful competition with democratic countries. Reaching to the conclusion that Permanent revolution is an unattainable goal, the communists decided to invent a verbal world of slogans and dreams, encircled by troops and walls... The Iron curtain is a reality, not a metaphor, and if it did not last as long as preliminary planned, it is not because it was removed but because it was broken by the advance of information technology. Ministers, Party secretaries and Siberian workers, all of them, watch on TV how are living the unemployed in USA, West Germany, Great Britain, France, Holland, Sweden, etc. A glance is enough one to get

the impression that what in the Soviet block is being achieved through uncountable compromises, humiliation, infernal exhaustion and even crime, in Western world is being presumed to be a starting point for everybody in society. Imagine what would be the mood of a Soviet citizen who is being obliged to queue for 10-20 years to obtain family housing. Can he feel free? Can he express freely his opinion knowing in advance that only a single word might launch him one hundred light years away from all expected "bonuses"?

All these inconsistencies are a result of the blind conviction of the red comrades that a decision is correct simply because it is being taken by the Communist party. Ordinary people have no right to stop the eruption of Party craziness. They are being forced to wait until the "wise" party itself will come to the point to revise but never to confess its world-known deceptions.

People under Communism seem to be very patient and they really are. However nobody was able to predict for how long.

## THE COMMUNIST SCIENCE IN ACTION

The breeding season of the communist science begins immediately after the revolutionary take-over of the state. For a long period (1925 - 1960) a strange situation existed in USSR: science under Communism has been considered separated from the so called "bourgeois science". According to Stalinism the conflict between these two types of science is inevitable and a peaceful solution to the conflict might be achieved only after the complete destruction of the capitalist society. The declarative character of Communism is being transferred without any hesitation to natural sciences and still more willingly to the humanitarian ones. Partisanship in the late 30s penetrated firmly in Mathematics, Physics, Chemistry, Biology... Each learn-book begins with quotations from Stalin and Lenin. Cybernetics was proclaimed to be a reactionary science" and its existence "banned"...Physics was not on better terms with the red ideologists. In concert with Nazism (remarkable!) Stalinism rejected the great achievements of Einstein and his Theory of relativity but not frankly because Einstein is a Jew (as the Nazis did) but because his basic concepts are being contaminated with philosophic idealism. In Soviet block this is just as a death sentence. It is used mostly as an ultimate measure when needed. So did Stalinism in the early 50s with The Theory of Resonance in Chemistry without paying any attention that its author L.Pauling happened to be with left political orientation. After Stalin's death (1953) Pauling (two Nobel prizes - Chemistry and Peace) visited USSR and was asked what did he think about Dialectic Materialism.

-I think, Dr. Pauling said, it is not harmless despite its fogginess.

These events seem incredible if one would not take into account that after the revolution a massive purge of scientists was undertaken and a deluge of highly incompetent Party members occurred. An obedient majority of pseudo-scientists has been created and that explains perfectly well the tragic eclipse of Science.

The most indicative example of Stalinist method for manipulation of Science is, no doubt, Biology. Biology is a fruitful field for wordy fabrications mainly because of its semi-quantitative branches what encourages ambiguity. This blessed field in the Soviet block was conquered for long by the red activists. The basic slogan of the latter sounds now rather anti-ecologically:

"We must not wait gifts from the Nature. It is our task to take them from Her!"

There is hardly any other scientific area so inviting for amateur exercises as Soviet Biology. The idea of the "Great jump" is being born just here and we shall see why. The communist contempt for economic laws (which they think well to re-direct according to their political intentions) is being manifested firmly for the natural laws either. A genius of mystification by the name of T.D. Lissenko exploited the opportunity, offered by the post-revolutionary intellectual vacuum, in the scientific institutions in USSR. He raised a remarkable idea that each biological species might be transformed into another one by a comparatively simple manipulation. Lissenko invented several techniques and proclaimed all over the world that he had successfully obtained *rye* from *barley* or *vice versa* and many other sensational results. At the beginning he was ridiculed but after the approval given to him by Stalin his scientific sub products gained popularity mainly among the majority of non-professional new-comers at the scientific institutes. It is worth mentioning that an important role in the Lissenko's affairs plays the Marxist "philosophy".



Philosophers got the awareness that they are competent to say if a particular piece of work in a particular natural science is true or not. Party leadership uses them as prosecutors to accuse in all mortal sins those among specialists who are not faithful to the red regime. Lissenko usurped considerable executive power, exercising even the right to decide who among his scientific opponents must be labeled "Party enemy" and taken to prison. I was surprised to learn recently that he himself was not a party member. This may be due to the well-known Asiatic cunning of Stalin. Vulgarity of thinking is the cardinal characteristic of Lissenko. Then how could we explain that he conquered such vast territories in Soviet scientific structures? In my opinion the essence of his "inventions" originates from the readiness of the red officials themselves to interfere in Science by non-scientific methods.

As a matter of fact men of Integrity cause permanent troubles for Communism. Intuitively all Party bosses are being afraid of them and that is why they try to smash them. Lissenko understood in time this necessity of the Party and did his best to corrupt everything in his reach. Servility became common sense. Scientists stop discovering the secrets of Nature and begin to "find" what they are being ordered ! Journals at this shameful time are full of lies, so arrogant, that there is no need of special analysis to qualify them. A chain reaction of forcible confessions and self-criticisms took place in USSR and satellite countries. Biologists of all ranks were in a hurry to reject their own previous works as adherent to the ideas of Mendel, Weissmann and Morgan, that is, of normal Biology.

-We are being subjected to such a political pressure that there is no alternative-, said many scientists.

Photographs of Lissenko were to be seen everywhere. Learn-books for primary schools were thoroughly revised and filled as much as possible

with texts praising "Soviet progressive Biology". I think that this is a unique phenomenon in our time not only with its absurdity but also with its longevity. A whole generation of scientists is being spoiled thanks to the Party yoke on Truth. Doctor-titles were given exclusively to adepts of Lissenko, Lie being inserted in all types of scientific branches. Even the works of I.P.Pavlov (Nobel Prize of Physiology - 1906) the red "scientists" vulgarized by their completely inappropriate generalizations. I remember perfectly well how at a conference on *Physical* sciences the referees have been obliged to analyze if the scientific reports do consider in satisfactory extent the "Pavlov's physiological ideas"(?!).

Sometimes Party influence is being exercised even on such delicate areas as authorship. For a considerable period of time Chinese Communist party prohibited the indication of the authors' names in the scientific publications under the pretext that the real author is the people...

"Scientific" voluntarism is being spread insistently all over the Soviet block. In Czechoslovakia where Gregor Mendel, the Father of Genetics, was born, the Party undertook shameful measures in co-operation with Lissenkoism, denouncing the basic laws of heredity, academician Malek being exceedingly active in this respect. Lissenko implemented mythology into Science and that resulted in legalization of Lie. Extra-senses with doubtful abilities have exploited the opportunity and they still are being highly positioned in the communist hierarchy. Only a phone call by somebody from the Central Committee is enough an illiterate extra-sense to get a job at a medical institute... I know that several years ago a special laboratory has been organized to investigate the influence of a "plant-emitted rays' source" on the adhesive properties of cements. Many years ago, apparently imitating Lissenko, two interesting figures appeared in Biology: Lepeshinskaya and

Boshan. Lepeshinskaya pretended that she had succeeded in obtaining living cells from a cell-free material by a simple and absolutely wrong manipulation. No one believed her (except a Bulgarian "scientist" who proclaimed that he himself had been able to repeat her findings) but after Lissenko's official approval she got credit in USSR and very soon in the satellite countries as well. The "discovery" of the old lady attracted much attention and her book has been translated into Polish, Hungarian, Czech, German, English, Japanese, French, etc., gathering sensational popularity and disbelief.

Boshan developed the works of Lepeshinskaya and made a statement that under *his* experimental conditions viruses and bacteria are being converted into crystals that might be transformed again into viruses and bacteria. So he helped Communist science to follow on steadily its wrong way. Later it was unequivocally proved that the "living crystals" in question were nothing else but ammonium sulfate...

One of Lissenko's closest collaborators was Prof. Present, a cunning Russian Jew, with a very combinative thinking. Perhaps he was the most hated man among the biologists. His starting speciality was philosophy ("Marx-Leninism") but he changed it in favor of Biology. Soviet biologists knew perfectly well that at every institute that Prof. Present intends to visit the ideological purge is inevitable.

Retardation in general biological research in the Soviet block took enormous dimensions. Incredible primitivism and falsifications resided everywhere and have been spread further to more specialized biological branches - Cytology, Histology, Zoology, etc. All critics have been forced to keep silence. Secret criticism had to wait for decades until social conditions would become more opportune for their publication.

The vulgar politicization of Science in the Soviet block has far reaching consequences. Unlike politics, Science is traditionally linked with Truth as a basic element of its very existence. Communist attitude toward Truth has nothing to do with objectivity. It states that Truth and Revolution always coincide. Certainly that is only a parable and nothing more. These two concepts are relatively independent upon each other and very often have no contact. Lissenko's era is a catastrophe for the scientific thought. It is a profound negligence of systematic research and a substitution of the latter for declarative non-scientific considerations. I am firmly convinced that Chernobyl accident is a direct result of the Lissenko-like approach to the all-important problems of Science and Technology under communism. A Western observer would never believe that exclusively vital questions are being discussed at high Party level without paying the slightest attention to the real situation. Threateningly raised forefinger is the most persuasive argument in favor of one or other decision under the cover of the tragic lie that the Party is always right. That kind of "scientific" incentive produces disastrous effects, both material and moral. The word *scientific* has lost its original meaning in Eastern countries and became a verbal Party weapon used blindly in defense of a leading caprice. All Party plans are "scientifically founded", irreproachable and uniquely perfect. Communist manipulated mass-media are full of "scientific" arguments and "data". The false adherence to pseudo-scientific methods of investigation and prognosis resulted in an enormous increase of the scientific institutes in number. Most of them are nothing else but a silly attempt to imitate scientific activity, the benefit being absolutely negative. People say:

-If you eat low quality bread that is surely due to the Research Institute of Bread.

The non-causal tackling of scientific problems influences the whole system of education. Mediocre teachers lecture before unable pupils and so a vicious circle is being formed. Non-scientific factors determine who must teach and who must be taught. The so called *Rabfac* (Rabotnichesky facultet) - Faculty of Workers, - was an inexhaustible source of low intelligent Party activists who have to get a university diploma. They are the attacking force of Mediocrity if an ideological campaign is to be organized in USSR or in the satellite countries. Lissenko himself originates "scientifically" from Rabfac. Rabfac students support blindly every Party slogan and their artificial enthusiasm is always ready to become a substitute for knowledge. They cry "Long live Lissenko!" and "Down with Reactionary Biology!", "Down with Mendel, Weissmann and Morgan!". An interesting sort of mental vacuum has come into effect - its main destination has been to absorb the names of all those who had been proclaimed enemies of the "socialist most progressive Science". These people were not to be mentioned any more, neither their works nor publications. One ought to have been eye witness to this incredible phenomenon not only to understand but to feel that strange atmosphere of emptiness never existing before.

History is the first scientific branch being subjected to such a type of ideological trimming. After the expulsion of a large group of Russian intellectuals in 1922 -among them Berdiyev, Rozanov, - their names are being erased in all printed materials in circulation. Trotsky, one of the co-authors of that idiotic procedure, later became victim of his own invention. Practice of that sort is equal to a funeral. "Research" on historical events, facts, documents is being restricted within the narrow borders of Party visions and Party decisions. The latter are being stamped in text-books and encyclopedias as something obligatory for whatever further quotations. "Blank spots" in

History as many call the communist crimes are in fact not "blank" but "dirty" spots. They are not non-studied but banned. Actually the History itself is being banned and for as long as possible. Gorbachev and his Perestroika are totally embarrassed about that. The younger Party leaders do not know how to "re-organize" History if History does not exist at all. Before being reorganized it should be organized... A unique situation happened - a great country like USSR has no learn-books on History for the school year 1988-1989! Neither for the primary schools nor for the universities. This fact, I think, reflects the necessity, felt by the red bosses, to prepare for themselves a more favorable historical background. Communists know very well that History is being created only once but it is being written many times... Especially if one takes into account that the Party considers History as a political weapon and it uses it for everyday purposes. Party discipline is, and has always been, above the Truth. Even museum exponents are being manipulated in agreement with the Party requirements, Lenin's photographs included, where from every friend of his who had later been purged is being removed. Lenin's old friend Pyatritzky has been accused without any foundation in being a spy. In the same way might be accused everybody. It is interesting that the Party internal struggle, as far as History is being concerned, is not for achieving the Truth but for the right to re-tailor History. Apart from the banned Cybernetics and Genetics, many humanitarian sciences as Law, Economics, etc., exist in an incredibly degenerated form. They are simply "bourgeois" sciences and nothing more. In many countries there are scientific prizes that traditionally are being awarded to scientists of merit. In the Soviet block scientific prizes are being "distributed" *only* among the communist supporters and this rule knows no exception. Highly decorated scientists like Sakharov would have never been awarded any prize if their bosses knew their potential unreliability. What is the

mechanism of giving an academic prize? On the first place it is a Party committee that must approve the project for the candidacy. If the local party decision is positive the candidacy is being seconded by the local State trade unions. On its way to the top men the project passes through many administrative institutions plus a thorough KGB-vetting, and at each level it should be coordinated with the corresponding Party organizations. When finally the prize is being publicly announced it is always being motivated "for outstanding contributions to Science and to the *Communist society*."

Prize production is enormous because it must satisfy the appetite of those who do not deserve it, the latter being always more numerous than the talented ones.

Genuine Science is a mental propriety of all human beings. To serve Science is a problem of passion and dedication. Under Communism it is simply a chance. It is being regarded as a material factor capable to enrich a certain type of communist supporters. I know many Academic members, Professors, Doctors of Sciences, who say:

- We have been introduced to Science by the Party. We are soldiers of the Party and we follow its directions.

A new term has been born - "scientific worker" as a sign of the close relation between Communist Science and the "ruling" working class. In fact most scientific institutions in the Soviet block are being considered as attractive places where a mediocre person might feel pretty well. A Westerner would be surprised if he knew how many sons, daughters and wives of Party Nomenclature "comrades" amalgamate their laziness under the cover of the title "scientific worker". Scientific institutes are something like sanatorium stations furnished with Party committees, Party groups and Party organizations. The only thing that lacks is Science. I wonder how endlessly

"tolerant" are the communist officials to people who do nothing and how merciless - to those gifted scientists who do not accept the orthodox communist thinking. Party bosses are being so well accustomed to lawlessness that they do not doubt whether their policy is right or wrong. When a society is being permanently nourished with lies (the biggest one: "All power to the Soviets!") it has no need of real Science.

On words Party leaders in all communist countries insist that "*Science is a direct productive force*" and if it really were, the tragic economic situation of the red block might have been avoided. Expert evaluation of stuffs and processes is another weak point in communist scientific circles. Science under Communism, as any other activity, is being subordinated to the Party that has expropriated the ultimate say on Truth even if it is linked with the Heisenberg's Principle of Uncertainty. However the Party lacks both competence and objectivity. Its main role is to hinder, not to help.

As a rule morality in Soviet Science died simultaneously with democracy and it has been substituted for empty quotations from Marx, Lenin and Stalin. Gorbachev has tried not long ago to pay more attention to common humanistic values but in vain - the bloodshed caused by Soviet weapons and Soviet soldiers is more eloquent than his tall orations. As many other conquerors Communism is getting weaker and weaker after every consecutive victory. Look at the Globe and you will see the track of its bloody advances: 1917 - Russia, 1921-1924 - Georgia, Armenia and many other Asiatic countries, 1940 - Baltic states, 1944-1945 - Hungary, Bulgaria, Poland, Rumania, North Korea, 1948 - Czechoslovakia, 1949 - China, GDR, 1956 - Viet Nam, 1959 - Cuba, 1979 - Afghanistan, etc. However soon after the very role of the Party and its right to be leading force in the society is being questioned. Science influences in a considerable degree that process.



In the long run scientific approach proved to be much more significant than the Party declarations. Science is stronger than slavery camps, than Lissenkoism, Stalinism and the like but unfortunately its supremacy is being demonstrated desperately slow if the measure is an average life span.

Science is useful but not charitable.

The negative selection, typical for the whole Communist system, is being widely implemented in Science either. The competitiveness in the communist scientific life is an exact copy of the communist "free" elections. Every detail of it is being coordinated in advance with the corresponding Party organization. I am aware that there is nothing that Communism can not forge, starting from the image of KGB and ending with a Doctor degree in Molecular Biology. Only vices are being preserved in their authentic form. One of these vices is the addiction to imitation. Giant projects that red bosses have seen to being realized in USA, Japan and Western Europe prompt them to do likewise. Plans are being drafted, discussed and approved at the special departments of The Party Central Committee. The first step further is the employment of a special Party representative who has a full scale executive power on the spot. Usually the above mentioned representative is absolutely incompetent, the most important part of his vocabulary being "Come on!" and that is what he is using most frequently. Abortive creations of this system are BAM (Baikhalo Amurskaya Maghistrala), The Aral-sea project and many other cartoon-ike projects in Science and Technology. It must be specially emphasized that in the Soviet block there are some genuine scientific achievements ( in the field of Missile technique, Mathematics, Physics, Chemistry, etc.) but they are being done not because of the Party but despite it...The proofs are more than abundant. If one only looks at the communist erected obstacles before any

bright idea one would readily accept this conclusion. Communist themselves sometimes do agree with it but always after an irreparable retardation.

The misuse of the original ideas of the Utopian Communism is what the red dictators will never confess. The story of the "ruling" working class is the corner stone of "their Science". Every one sees the lie but only a few risk to name it and to put at stake their own lives. The working class as the *core* of the Communist regime is the most important forgery. Its significance is basic. However the only practical result from it is that under communism the workers, in their capacity of proprietors of the state, are not being allowed to strike. Seventy years this KGB-prepared ideological pie is being served to the working class, ornamented with charged rifles and barbed wire. However even after such a prolonged and "persuasive" treatment the workers still are getting on strike at every earliest, although rare, opportunity. Artificially created ideals got no followers among the working class. Adepts of such ideals are the bureaucrats who are well-known as ideological omnivorous.

The lack of an objective expert level for evaluation of the scientific achievements put the communist authorities in a very comic situation. The desperate desire for big scientific creativity is the reason why a great deal of "impossible" discoveries are being born just under Communism. Remedies against cancer are being proclaimed almost daily, projects for *perpetuum mobile* constructions are infiltrating institutes and laboratories. I witnessed how at an international forum an obvious fool has been given the possibility to demonstrate "burning water" as the perfect ecological fuel of the future. The strangest thing was that a still bigger fool with an academic degree confirmed this, defining it as the greatest discovery of 20th century. Such inheritors of Lissenko prove once more that a new immoral race has entered Science - *Homo Sovieticus*. The scientists of this race are being pre-

programmed to obey at any price the Party decisions and to support them by scientific publications. In fact the great majority of the Soviet scientists behave that way. However the genuine Science has always been driven forward not by the majority but by the exceptions.

In my opinion these problems are of global significance because different ideologies are always subtractive, whereas different sciences are mutually additive.

## WHO IS AFRAID OF THE BIG BAD TRUTH?

Each Communist party pretends to be the conscience of the nation but very soon it becomes nation's proprietor instead. Having no practice in democracy the red radicals are prone to political corruption. Still during the French revolution of 1789 Mirabeau proclaims a remarkable thought:

"When the Jacobins become ministers they do not become Jacobean ministers".

The fundamental mistake of Communism is linked with its inability to gain the support of the masses, although this mistake has been never confessed. From an expected ally the masses turn to be a formidable enemy. They cause fear among the communist authorities who know very well why the so called "mature socialism" is being so desperately closely linked with poverty. The way in which red politicians compare the pre-war economic position of the Soviet block with the figures of 1913 is tragic. From a battlefield of ideas communism becomes an enterprise of personal interests. These personal interests unite a narrow circle of red activists defended by the forces of the State machine: KGB, Militia, servile trade unions, etc. Perhaps USA, France, Great Britain, Japan are being convinced that ex-communist block is at the eve of big changes but ordinary people hardly would share that. They expect burial not re-organization of the red institutions. The long lasting communist attempt to persuade them that slavery is simply a job as all other jobs is obviously unsuccessful. People's avidity for happiness is great and incessant. Meanwhile men and women exercise this property of theirs even under the incredible communist conditions. One potato or a small piece of bread in besieged Leningrad provided more happiness than the gold of Ford

Knox. When one looks at Soviet shops and sees how happy the comrades are, being able to buy 1/2 kg of salami, one understands that the philosophic stone of Communist Social Alchemy is the well-rationed poverty. The secret Party wisdom does not produce anything more. May be that is why a practical joker invented the slogan:

"Better nothing than never!"

The loss of confidence in communism is being prompted by Lenin. Although he left critical phrases against some current errors of the Red government his main motive is that of applauding his own remarkable achievements, slightly moderating the praises, substituting "I" for the more neutral "we". Dictatorship traditions made of the portraits of Lenin, Stalin, Mao, Dimitrov, Castro, Ulbricht et al. an immeasurable hypertrophy. If you would check what is being published about them you would find out that all they are remarkable humanists, patriots, lovers of Nature, intellectuals of the highest degree, courageous, generous... and last but not least... exceedingly modest.

Directly from Lenin originates another interesting peculiarity of the communist political profile: the communist parties never retire from power even after monstrous mistakes, catastrophes and complete loss of confidence. They have introduced an interesting indicator that although unsuitable (still since Roman times!) is being applied everywhere by them: "We still hold the situation under control..." The translation of this diplomatic expression into common speech is:

"We have taken the State with blood, only with blood we might be thrown down."

To deal with bloody business is the beloved job of the communists. That is indisputable. However the events in China (1989), Poland (1989), GDR

(1989), Hungary (1989) do not prove unequivocally this rule. Change of Party name is being recommended as an *ultima ratio* but I doubt very much if such philological exercises would be enough to calm the people. The butchers remain butchers irrespective of their surgeon's mantles.

The history of socialist journalism if reviewed objectively would be not only highly interesting but also very useful. Even a computer of medium capacity could get along all right with the task. In many respects that would be the history of propaganda lies. Against will Lenin will always be called "a genius", Stalin - "the Great leader of the whole progressive world", Kim Ir Sung - "the Great son of the Korean nation". The titles are rather boring and poorly worded but it is more important to underline that there is not a single journal or newspaper issue where the name of the top red boss is not being thoroughly admired. Chief editors are being fired not for omitting the great name of the great leader (that is absolutely impossible) but even for an occasional typographical error that might have been interpreted in an improper way. Once the whole staff of a Party newspaper was dismissed (the doorkeepers as well) because of a single letter that caused the phrase "standing ovations" (related to the speech of a big boss) to sound ironically as "all became cows". No doubt, there are such verbal traps in English too but I wonder if anyone in USA or Great Britain would proceed like that.

Intolerance is a general feature of all communists. The latter have double conscience in a double-faced society. For them the guiding rule is to order and to being ordered. Every member of the society must be a double agent for himself - to be a spy and at the same time to monitor the spies around him. This is specifically important when one has to choose between an approving or disapproving behavior.

In 1947 Tito visited a number of fraternal communist countries and millions have been ordered to applaud him. Later he has been declared enemy of the communism and all those who welcomed him had to say that they had been either ill or absent or that they had been forced to welcome him. In Western countries there are jokes about S. M. B. S. (Society of Mutual Back Scratching) but under Communism such "society" really exists. It is most numerous within the KGB-institutions where all kinds of witnesses are at permanent disposal for court, for investigations, for political meetings and interviews. Monopoly makes cross-examination by lawyers (this mighty weapon in the hands of men as Perry Mason) unnecessary - everything is being known in advance. All falsifications are being indulgent on political basis, i.e. they are done in the name of great ideas, so great that ordinary human beings look as dwarfs alongside them. The Present is being drawn in lies to save the Truth of the Future. Here are being concentrated Politics, Diplomacy, Law and Ideology of communism.

Usually the lies are being protected behind the word "flexible" that indicates the readiness of the Party to modify its promises according its instant decisions. On the other hand there are some unnatural situations that contradict the common sense. Berlin wall was one of them. It separated not only West- from East- Germany. It separated the slaves from the normal human beings. Other violations of the Justice are the monuments to the Red army that USSR erected in all occupied countries and they are still there. So it creates new hot points where conflicts inevitably will arise. The same is valid for the ordered proclamation of 7-th November an official festal day in Bulgaria, Rumania, East Germany, etc. In East Germany this offence is being doubled by announcing 9-th May a national holiday! There are lies that one cannot determine otherwise as crimes. Such is the communist policy toward

young people. From early childhood they are being deprived of Truth and this under-privileged position lasted several generations. Lies are the social environment of all pupils, students and young workers. Imagine how they feel when they have to guess intuitively the right way ahead. Only not long ago, thanks to contemporary information technologies, a breakthrough in the ideological prohibition occurred. There are places where it takes the form of an explosion. Everyone could assess the results of the communist "efficient" propaganda taking into account that millions of young people who had grown under the ideological cover of the communism are yet in a hurry to abandon the Red "paradise". How would feel a child forced to write CV since the age of 8, periodically to answer questions about the political past of its parents and to praise permanently the wisdom of the unpredictable Party line? The right of childhood does not exist under Communism. *Collectively* educated children are a vast majority as far as low productive labor pushes the women to work like men. Here is the basis where the double standards are being rooted. At home the child hears things that later at primary school are being presented in quite a different way. Once, twice, many times... The process continues at the secondary school, at the university, during the whole life. The real danger for the youngsters is that they lack a sound moral center. When grown up they are vulnerable to every kind of external influence, seldom positive. The lack of free choice explains why gradual development of a proper aesthetic taste is a rare exception. As a rule, the children in the Red system, as all their compatriots, have an inferiority complex, initiated by what they see in their own countries and abroad as well. Non-convertibility of the socialist currencies were strengthening it. All highly licensed entertainment establishments were available only for foreigners. If I were a Russian I would have asked my beloved Government on what grounds it has decided to devaluate quite in a



sudden *ten times* the ruble, irrespective of the fact that nobody can spend it. In Bulgaria it was one thousand times!

The position of youth in the fraternal countries is not better. Restrictions, both physical and mental, are abundant everywhere and yet you are being forced to say (and even to sing!) that your native Communist party is leading you further to the still brighter social horizons!

Not everybody is capable to endure long such a "paradise". People, predominantly young ones, begin to leave in uncontrollable numbers Communism at their earliest convenience or on their earliest occasion. The whole world understands why that happens, yet only the Central Committee of the Party is being surprised and astounded.

Meanwhile something like discussion had been in progress (Autumn – 1989) between two groups of fraternal countries): No 1 - USSR, Poland, Hungary, East Germany, Yugoslavia; No 2 - North Korea, Albania, China, Bulgaria, Rumania, Czechoslovakia, Cuba, Viet-Nam. The two groups differ in many respects but in my opinion most interesting is their attitude concerning Truth. The first group maintains that Truth is by no means a mortal poison for Communism if rationed cautiously. The second one is acutely allergic to the Truth regardless of the dose. It transfers its own allergy to the subordinated people. Thus, we come to the conclusion that under Communism Truth in fact is being considered a dangerous material. Communism is not ready to accept Truth as a component of the social life.

Progress to Democracy by retreating from Truth is definitely not the best way of "Perestroika" or "Reformation", in a Russian style. This development is being met patiently abroad and very impatiently at home. I think the internal impatience is a tremendous factor although many people are prone to neglect it. It is interesting that most frequently only communists or ex-

communists wrote on the problems of the Soviet and ex-Soviet block but only seldom ordinary unbiased observers. The vision of the latter deserves special attention as a source of permanent surprise. In its capacity of an anti-logical social distortion communism is free of reason and that makes it exceedingly attractive as an objective of research. Its importance is being further linked with the increasing world-wide documentation of Truth. The possibilities of that factor are really great. The era of space satellites provides opportunities to see and to photograph every point on the Globe and that makes practically impossible to deport a whole nation in secret or to consign to the grave millions of corpses bearing a gunshot hole in the skull. Communist regime in Cambodia liquidated one third of the population in the late 70s but the crime was not possible to being done in secret. The whole world protested in a most resolute way.

The "information bomb" inflicted heavy losses to communism. The legend of the *most prosperous* Red countries is being denounced as a lie in every one of its details. The flow of ferocious falsifications in the manner of A.J. Vishinsky (chief organizer of Stalin's "legal" purges) is no more possible. Everything can be watched and heard thanks to TV- and radio- networks. The well-known political hypocrisy is being compelled to search for new forms of self-defense. One of them is already in action and it might be called "method of the modest arrogance". The essence of that approach is the emphasis on the negative. The communist leaders take a position seemingly apart from their office and they begin to criticize the wrong style of work as though they themselves are only external observers. A cunning combination between reprimand and glorification is the basis of the new communist policy in the present information epoch. It leads to certain tactical achievements but it lacks perspectives and would hardly survive without Truth.

The communism is being startled. Truth is intruding from everywhere and the contact with it is inevitable.

## CURRENT JUSTICE

In the Red society the Justice is *current* in the same way as is current the greatness of the Party leaders. Justice here is time-dependent. The substance of this paradox is to be found in Göring's famous statement:

- *Wer Jude ist, das bestimmen wir!*

(- We decide who is a Jew!)

The chief Party boss can decide practically everything. The point is that our little world is being organized by the Creator on a time basis and no piece of eternity is being granted to us. Our leaders are "tremendous heroes" and "fathers of all nations" only until they are on the top of the pyramid. With negligible exceptions (some of them doubtful) we are being governed under Communism by people officially proclaimed to be either fools or criminals (or both): Stalin, Krushchov, Brezhnev, Andropov, Tschernenko, Gorbachev in USSR; Pick, Grothevol, Ulbricht, Honnecker, Krenz in East Germany; Gottwald, Novotny, Dubcek, Hussak, Yakhish in Czchoslovakia; Bjerut, Gomulka, Guerek, Kana, Jaruzelsky, Rakovsky in Poland; Stoyka, Gheorghe-Gheorghiu-Dej, Ceausescu in Rumania; Rakoci, Nagi, Kadar, Nierge in Hungary; Dimitrov, Chervenkov, Zhivkov, Mladenov in Bulgaria, etc. Communist Justice is as a sword that periodically changes its proprietors. The access to it is a complex ritual better related to Mythology than Law. The crucial point for those who own it is how to hypnotize the people and prompt them to believe that the multi-purpose Justice reshaped so often by the Party is *natural*. Party leaders always fail to fulfill that task not only because of their inability but because of the people's inability too. Though subjected to permanent communist propaganda ordinary citizens have an intrinsic sense of Justice that differs considerably from the official one. Piling up "justices"

according to their instant usefulness is one of the communist most solid achievements. They keep a vast variety of justices in stock and pick them up if necessary. Afghanistan was an "international communist duty" - Justice No 1. Mudzheuhidines were "bandits" - Justice No 2. Afghanistan was a "big mistake" - Justice No 3. Afghanistan was a "crime" - Justice no 4. Justices...NoNo 5,6,7... Always an appropriate and convenient justice stands by to satisfy or support someone's high positioned madness. Injustice in politics is an old well-known drug with its famous co-authors - Yustinian (Byzantium), Macchievelli (Italy), Talleyrand (France). During communism it becomes an important part of the totalitarian machine and pillar of the State stability. However the Party controlled transition "Justice <-> Injustice" produces no strategic profit. Why? - Obviously because the partners of communists on the international stage are not so foolish as expected. They abstain from entering a business where the communist signed obligations are seldom observed. From a Marxist point of view Capitalism as a whole is a *criminal* form of society and every type of injustice against it must be considered an honest military manoeuvre. When in Hungary (1956) a nationwide uprising against communism took place (there are documented facts how mothers sent their children with hand-grenades under the Soviet tanks!) the Party gave its word for an interim agreement during which the people's representatives were caught and shot as counter-revolutionaries. Later I. Nagy was granted political asylum in Rumania. What next? - He had been repatriated to Hungary (1958) and legally murdered. This method is a routine and it is being broadly used by all communist general practitioners in politics. They heal the peoples by killing.

Since Lenin the communists personalize themselves as connected not only with Justice but also with Truth. Being a small mentally restricted

group, the Party Central Committee votes in favor of resolutions that are neither wise nor just. Bolshevik traditions ("Bolshevik" - man of the majority, in Russian) motivate the "comrades" to stick around most incompetent persons (e.g. Stalin). Deceptive ideas make them supporters of the conclusion that Truth and Justice might be overcome through a mechanically harvested majority (no matter how!). That is one of the weakest points in the communist speculations and that is why they have to pay for it not only now but in the future too. Complete lack of original ideas compels them to return to models already rejected by them decades ago: autonomous social bodies as universities, informal mass-organizations, co-operative plants. They do not like to understand the very simple fact that Red parties have accumulated a mountain of national disbelief that by no means might be disregarded. Red bosses never stop insisting that they maintain a live contact with the people but I doubt very much if they trust their own words. Soon after coming to power communism has introduced the identification papers for all the population. These papers proved to be more important than the citizens themselves. Your social status is being predominantly determined by your papers. They are different, depending upon your scrupulously weighed loyalty as evaluated by the authorities. Your ration of Justice is stamped on your passport. By this measure Stalin dreamt to chain every one of his slaves within the borders of a particular village but he died shortly before the realization of the project.

"Ready made" export of Stalinism enjoyed little demand. Yet, it did! Castro, who formerly had never been an admirer of communism and of the communist ideas, is being pressed to notice them after he conquered Cuba. Overwhelmed by ferocious fear that he had no political sponsors among the American states he in the best Spanish colonial traditions has "baptized" himself in communism together with *his* whole nation. That indicates how

profitable might be sometimes to rent Communist Justice from another continent and to exploit it, though in a risky way, I guess.

Export of current justice exerts some dangerous features, at first place the difficulties of preservation. Until it reaches its new destination the material is being usually spoiled. Good ideas with bad realization is a contagious disease among the fraternal red countries. They refuse to notice that in contrast to verbal creations the social practice does not tolerate distortions, especially in the long run. From a practical point of view communism is a great bankruptcy that is being imprisoned in its own custody for unpaid debts. The most interesting point however is that due to its huge dimensions only a few, if any, are capable to evaluate this situation.

The retreat of communism from its own Justice (if needed) is being demonstrated best in its election policy. All red parties proclaim the superbly democratic character of *their* elections. After the intense protests and demonstrations against communism however a new thesis is being shamefully proclaimed, namely: "now we will offer the people *really non-manipulated* elections". This is not a superposition of two lies. It is a system. The communists insisted (1989) that the Berlin wall will remain impenetrable forever (at least for the coming century). East Germany population forcibly opened it on 09.11.1989... The communist officials announced that it has been done "with their permission". The Big Brother seconded them immediately. Even a regret was expressed to those of the emigrants who took refuge through Poland, Hungary and Czechoslovakia. They ought to have waited a little longer instead of passing abroad leaving all their property...

The incredible reincarnation forms of the Communist Justice attract the attention of foreign Marxologists. Conceivably they are extracting some profit from them. To the "experimental people" of the Soviet block

however the ever-changing Justice causes very sad recollections. More than 70 years the Justice travels to the Soviet peasants resting always out of reach. Soviet peasants are not only being drawn into Injustice, they are being digested by it. In Stalin's policy the peasantry plays the role of an infantile expendable supply for the slavery camps. Stalin shared Lenin's opinion that "peasantry is a mighty producer of Capitalism - every day, every hour, and on a vast scale". Only in the communist moral rules one might find out "explanations" of such a criminal idea of guilt, supported by 40 million victims. The victims have always failed to catch the ever-changing and ever-escaping Communist Justice. Perhaps in the last minute of their lives they had been remembering the historic decree of Lenin (1917): "The land - to those who cultivate it!" To make up their moral face the communists appeal regularly to collective approval of the *current* Justice and they get it. Collectivism plays an important role in the Red society as a cardinal tool for de-personification of the guilt. According to the communist mythology every "collective" opinion in support of the communist yoke is *a priori* a right one. A group of Party supporters might be mediocre but never wrong. They express the will of the masses that are being led by the Party! Future Justice is an additional sweet promised to the people and it is being always presented in generously exaggerated amounts. Nobody cares if this is genuine. The great majority of Party members consider Justice traditionally a regular part of the communist propaganda but by no means a real goal. In the opinion of the authorities the Future itself is a tank where many justices are being stored. This "naive" outlook is being willingly and unanimously sponsored by all those who oppress Freedom - mostly for the sake of material privileges.

Newly proclaimed concepts pretend to manifest new Justice and it is very likely that they shall get many followers. However I would like to



emphasize that, consciously or not, the whole communist society is being infiltrated to a huge extent by Stalinism. An evidence in this respect is the almost non-stop talking that Stalinism is being abandoned once forever...

History reveals numerous examples related to Justice when the latter is being used "on credit" by the communists to stabilize their own critical position. A series of red parties are changing their secretary generals as a primordial effort to stay in power and again headlines are being made appealing to Justice and to the never-existing "Genuine Communism". However after the slightest consolidation of the regime, the promised Justice is being once more buried in the Future tense. This development is impossible to avoid as far as it does not depend on the good will of the bosses. Radical reforms require honesty, i.e. new people, that are not being involved in criminal activity. Under Communism however *all Party members are accomplices*. There are no moral resources left! Just like in the field of organized crime *each* Party member is being engaged *personally* in the realization of the communist homicide policy. That is why people do not trust communism when it tries to better its image by changing its leading staff. The red critics are by no means less guilty than the criticized ones. This unique political situation is a natural result from the line of unanimity that does not allow any, even slightest, deviations. Discussing the genesis of crisis no one might say:

-Well, I was against!

Only great comic actors can parallel what communists do, especially in central institutions as Parliaments, etc., where they without any hesitation change abruptly their yesterday's convictions. This is of course a difficult role whose performance is being helped by a certain kind of ... idiotism. Party activists are ready to confess that they are idiots who have been absolutely ignorant about what they have been doing instead of accepting a real, both

moral and material, responsibility. This type of behavior is shameful but it works successfully as a life saving measure. Prominent specialists in this respect are Hungarian leader Kadar, Bulgarian leader Zhivkov and Soviet leader Brezhnev. They have invented the model of the "pulsating" Justice that contracts or expands according to the practical needs of the Party. Brezhnev succeeded in using it to the very end. Kadar and Zhivkov failed. Dun Sao Ping was practicing it for many years. At the age of 85 he retired but I think he must be given a master title too. The model of increasing stagnation also attracts some followers: Castro, Ceausescu, Kim Ir Sen, Alia. These leaders are being convinced that repression is always stronger than resistance but I doubt if their regimes would hardly live longer than them. The fate of Ceausescu is an eloquent example.

Much alike Wisdom that, from a personal quality, is being metaphorically ascribed to the Party, the Interest is being ascribed to the proletariat as a whole. The interest of the proletariat is a magic formula willingly identifiable with Justice. In the name of the proletariat countless murders are being done and nobody can say who in fact the proletariat is. A ghost! The base aspirations of a group of persons having nothing in common with the working class are being hidden behind it.

## REARRANGEMENT OF PUPPETS

The most crucial point in a communist state is the change of the leadership. Usually it starts with the Secretary general of the Party and later affects the hierarchy of the Nomenclature. Once the Big Boss falls, the whole apparatus is in panic. The party members know very well that nobody occupies a certain position by merit but exclusively due to personal obedience. Instantly an exhibition of communist "virtues" is being organized and depending on the taste of the new boss a re-structuring occurs. It is worth mentioning that the only thing that matters is the personal link to the Secretary general. Nothing else. This "one-pot" process of determination who's who under the new set communist administration is very typical for the whole Red system. Nobody asks why the distribution of the high ranking appointments has nothing to do with professional qualities. Alternatives are never possible. The communists pretend to take into account History but they never do. Only the Present is the argument of importance. Former deeds are analyzed only if one must be fired or reprimanded.

Sometimes however the accumulation of mistakes is so huge that the Party apparatus needs an abrupt change of policy. Then a signal for mass repentance is given and the surprised world sees how fond of democracy all the communists always have been. USSR, Czechoslovakia, East Germany, Bulgaria, Hungary, Mongolia, China provide excellent examples how willingly the ruling communism is ready for self-criticism and how adamant it is when the retreat from power is being concerned. Retirement from office is a very rare phenomenon in the Red paradise. Occasionally some activists are fired or actually sacrificed as a final attempt to save the ruling position of the Party. The affected party members are out of job but not out of the Nomenclature.

Soon after the thunderstorm is over they receive a new prestigious appointment. Even the exuberant communist salaries are under strict protection - if a party agent has lost his position he is given "the right" to enjoy the same amount of money irrespective of the lower salary scale at the new office. This type of administrative practice proves clearly the absolutely illegal way the communists run the civil services. "Puppets on the strings" is the destiny of all party members. It is an intrinsic communist feature, the other name of the sacred *democratic centralism*.

To create a false impression, to imitate a political change, is one of the few real abilities that might be ascribed to the ruling communist parties. Viet-Nam, China and Bulgaria provide ample evidence in this respect. Charged with noisy enthusiasm newspapers proclaim either "the Great jump" or a "Radically new policy". Congresses and conferences are being jammed with freshly hammered ideas for a sudden revelation, etc. - all this without any true realization. The only purpose of such incentives is to shield, though temporarily, the bankrupted system. New ideas are given some chance but not new people. The masked ball of political puppets is being reserved exclusively for Nomenclature persons. All communist countries are observing that rule almost without exception, the tamed population being unable to react effectively against the shamelessness of the regime. The uprising in Rumania (December 1989) demonstrated beyond any doubt that communism needs not renovation and political cosmetics but funeral.

One may point out some drastic expulsions from State and Party offices of high ranking criminals like Ochoa, Dominges, La Guardia (Cuba) as an evidence in favor of a strict vigilance inside the communist summits. As a matter of fact these events reflect only the severity of the internal feuds among the rulers. Communists are ready to go even further: for the sake of

their own dirty skin they voted unanimously for Vaclav Havel (29.12.1989) to become president of Czechoslovakia - a dissident whom they profoundly detest. On the whole there is nothing more treacherous in the world than the Communist party policy. The dignity of retirement is completely unknown to it. If the free nations would not understand this fundamental truth they should face in full scale the consequences of the communist pest.

What a complex coil of lies, stupidity and mediocrity is the so called leading Party line is most visible in the field of culture. The fanatically worshipped principle of the Socialistic realism (formulated vaguely in the early 30s of 20<sup>th</sup> century) occupies a central position in the mess. Socialistic realism legalizes mental constipation as an expression of artistic creativeness. The aim? - Strengthening of communism! Aesthetics is being substituted for Politics. Funny business... Countless anecdotes originate from this idiotic strategy whose absurdity is clear even to each illiterate citizen. Contrary to the common sense, congresses have been held, periodically reviewing the political "achievements" and criticizing the political "mistakes" in pieces of art. Thousands of theses have been written, millions of titles (PhD, M. Sc., Dr. Sc., etc.) have been obtained exploiting an "artistic" method that never existed. Censorship is the fierce progeny of the Socialistic realism but the fiercest one is the auto-censorship that exerts immense dimensions. Each one who has something common with art under the Communist regime knows perfectly well that an immanent voice presides over the author's will to express his ideas. This voice exists as a result from the oppressive political influence and is independent of any intention. The writing brother himself knows best which text can "pass", and which can not.

Whereas the puppets on the political stage are given some, though relative, freedom, the communist puppets at the cultural institutions are totally

enslaved. The permissible topics are very much like mosaic of taboos where the authors need moral acrobatics to get along all right. When asked about his guiding idea in literature M. Sholohov (Nobel prize-1965) said it was the Party policy...

Rearrangement of puppets occurs very often. Novelists and poets are competing desperately to earn the confidence of the red bosses and in that way to obtain administrative privileges and bonuses. The pyramidal configuration of every communist structure is valid for arts as well. Artists under communism are a good demonstration how basest emotions might disengage human beings from genuine art and to sacrifice it for the sake of material profit. Slavery, no doubt, is a disaster but in the field of the culture it is sealed with shame as far as it is not ordered but accepted voluntarily. Vicious circles of accomplices are being formed in all spheres of cultural life. They are being impregnated with material interests and have no pity to external intruders. Changes here are a result of the repercussions in the Party summits. The form of the straight jacket on culture varies but its essence remains always the same.

The rearrangement of the communist activists within the State machine is intended as a relaxation of the accumulated social tension but it never achieves its goal. The reason is the complete indifference of the enslaved population to any internal Party reforms. The people want the rejection of communism as a state system. Not formally but indeed. The tragic events in Rumania (1989) confirmed the immense amount of hatred accumulated against all types of communist totalitarianism. Since ancient times there are no such examples when empty handed citizens give lessons in bravery to the army and teach soldiers how to fight against the heavy armed Ceausescu guards. This is a sublime, though rare, demonstration of human

readiness to resist dictatorship at any price. An almost bloodless projection of Rumanian revolution presented Czechoslovakia and East Germany where the success against communism was also only partial. Thanks to a more flexible policy the ruling red bosses rearranged in the last moment their puppets and so have postponed for a while the fatal end.

Totalitarian regimes exert a rather interesting peculiarity: when they are going to perish they are ready to catch the whole world with them. This was demonstrated by Adolf Hitler who announced that the German nation must die with him... Communist rulers, as already mentioned, never retire. If beaten down they try always to spoil the situation as much as possible. Civil war is one of their beloved alternatives and they bet on it in Cambodia, Rumania, Angola, Viet-Nam, Korea, Nicaragua and all over the world. Even the slightest possibility of division within the nation is being exploited *ad maximum* to achieve some political profit. When feeling in danger Bulgarian communist party did not hesitate to incite religious hatred (1984-1989) to distract public attention from its own crimes. The same tactics is being used by the communists in all kind of negotiations. The Great Lenin proclaims: "We must sacrifice everything and if necessary to lay traps, to use cunning, illegal methods, even omitting the truth... and that has to be done systematically" (V.I. Lenin, Collected works, in Russian, vol.41, p. 38-39).

No doubt, this prescription is being permanently exploited in the communist political practice.

Dishonesty has so deeply penetrated into Communism that qualities as solidarity or mutual help are seldom to be met there. After expulsion from the Party a person has no more friends, nobody cares about him. I should emphasize however that only "ideological deviations" are being considered sinful for a party member - criminal activity has little to do with the moral

prestige. Even imprisoned, a criminally (but not politically!) charged communist can always rely on the Party that will not abandon him. The only condition that must be observed is loyalty and obedience to all orders that might be given. Concentration camp practice confirms this impression. Former communist bosses are inevitably in better conditions than ordinary prisoners. They never do physical work, being mainly engaged with local commanding jobs. "Hierarchy everywhere!" is a communist reality that fully discredits the archaic slogan "Equality, Fraternity, Freedom!" This controversy disturbs no one. People get accustomed to everything.

Many years ago one of the Bulgarian ambassadors was found guilty in financial misdoings and given a 20 year sentence. Later he got a six month holiday and driving his green Mercedes arrived triumphantly at home. I cannot imagine that an ordinary prisoner would be treated in a similar way.

Political xenophobia reaches enormous extent in the communist world. The label "enemy" is an adhesive used incessantly on a nation-wide scale. If in the near or distant future one would get the opportunity to pile up the names of all persons consumed by the Party as "agents of the imperialism", one would be surprised by the variety of events and ideas stored together. If you say you have problems with housing this might be tolerable for a while but if you would repeat it several times it becomes automatically a clue that you are "pouring water into the mill of the enemy". The latter definition however is arbitrary, it depends upon your general political pattern at the moment. In this respect there are no minor or major cases. Ceausescu (Rumania) and Zhivkov (Bulgaria) had at their disposal palaces, cottages, jewellery and gold compatible with the treasures of Eastern monarchs and nobody dared to challenge these abuses with the "communist moral standards". However once fallen, the ex-communist tyrants were held



responsible for their crimes but not before a regular court. This is once more a confirmation of the communist lawlessness.

## THE BOSSES OF ARTS

Culture under Communism is thought to be a political item and following the Marxist dogma its first goal is destructive. In 1917 thousands and thousands of churches have been demolished or transformed into storehouses or farming facilities. The *Proletcult* (Proletarian Culture) is an absurd, developed to its perfection by full rejection of any master-pieces created in pre-revolutionary times. Later it is being changed to a more tolerable situation but not before a great number of priceless paintings of Italian and Flamand classics had been sold to the West. Irrespective of its complicated and equivocal cultural policy communists have always been regarding artists as a State property. Each of them is being expected to fulfill his duty in accordance with the communist orders and the great majority did. Their line of dishonesty coincides closely with the analogous Party line - a tandem almost without precedent in the World History.

The monstrous lack of moral responsibility among notable artists (the so called State supported figures) is contagious and is handed over from generation to generation as a sign of Red cultural politics. Sudden changes in Party policy are being duly echoed by play-writes, writers, painters, musicians, etc. Novels and paintings are being "repaired" according to the Party criticisms and gradually it becomes apparent that nobody dares to contradict this shameful practice. S. Marshak confessed that his verses "are transformable" in accordance with the "international position of USSR"...

The instant service demonstrated by the men and the women of arts to the Party is being inspired not only by economical reasons. It should be pointed out that the total dependence makes people obliged to live in a

permanent pose of gratitude - gratitude that they are not yet dead, not yet starved, not yet imprisoned and all this due to the good will of the Party. The corresponding thanks-giving behavior is being expected and even required. Artistic societies maintain unanimously the Party domination on each of their members. Servility in a grotesque manner obsesses the people of arts. If Stalin would phone a poet as Pasternak (Nobel prize - 1958) the latter would feel like a school boy. This case is a real one. In 1934 when his friend O.Mandelstamm was imprisoned Pasternak had been asked by Stalin about his opinion. Pasternak had only murmured: "we are not friends at all..." Later Mandelstamm had been executed.

Party directed art production is common for all Soviet block countries. Every negligible relief of the grip on the authors is being proclaimed as a special privilege in favor of the *creative* liberty. The basic principle is: "The higher the administrative position of a poet, the better his poetry!" No exceptions. The "best" poet is naturally the president of the Writers Union. The same is valid for painters, musicians, architects, etc. As many other totalitarian regimes Communism is not only an oppressive power but at the same time also a mosaic of smaller totalitarian sub-systems in every region of life. According to the definition advertised widely by Zhivkov (Bulgaria) "Communism and communists are synonymous to Talent!.."

The role of the mental doping ascribed to Art for the purposes of communism perpetuated for many decades. It is worth emphasizing that there are always people, though mainly mediocre ones, who quite frankly agree to be humiliated. Low quality pieces of art co-exist with immorality under the cover of KGB-sponsored "Red culture". This awesome intellectual concubinage is being blessed by prizes that take sometimes form of a shower. Geniuses as A. Platonov and I.Brodsky were allowed to grow only in hiding or

in labor-camps. In my opinion the complete lack of shame is the most characteristic feature of all official champions of the Socialistic Realism that was (and still is!) artificially nourished by the Government. At first sight socialist writers, musicians and painters might be accepted as fanatic and *blind* supporters of communism. Such primitive point of view is not true. As already mentioned communism is not something permanent, it is just what the ruling Red party says at the moment. It is being changed not only every year but sometimes every season. The readiness to second and to follow artistically and elegantly all fantastic ideological zigzags of the Party is a strong evidence that the artists in question are simply self-deprived of conscience. This deeply rooted sense of mud is far from harmless. It raises an active detestation towards those professionals who make some humble attempts to get out from the battalions of political servants. The tragic moment in this practice is connected with its legally non-determined character. The written rules do not include anything of the sort. They praise the communist moral and approve with arrogance Brotherhood as the only existing form of the Mature Socialism... It is obvious therefore why "Glassnost" and "Perestroika" affected no real structures in Communist society. They were just a game of paragraphs that have never been observed and never would. A social investigation among men of art reveals that the cheapest informers of KGB are those gathered at the lowest steps of the privilege stairs. Their appetites for communist glory, appreciation and wealth are still not being saturated and that makes them easy pray for political bribery.

Responsibility under Communism is a very elastic abstraction. People get accustomed that yesterday's defenders of a political line are its today's critics. In the field of art this is a common practice. When Solzhenitsyn was awarded the Nobel prize for Literature the whole communist world burst

into protests against "the new basest act of the capitalist sharks". Now, prompted by the recent political changes, the same activists are proclaiming loudly their sincere opinion that Solzhenitsyn had been subjected to illegal persecution "despite *their* efforts to rescue him..." No doubt, politicians of all times are familiar with such phenomena but presently the tragic comedy is witnessed by millions. The shame is global and that is why shamelessness is global too. Shamelessness under communism has become a profitable profession, mostly as far as Art is concerned. The champions of the Socialistic realism are being summoned to play the role of pagan magicians if human beings are to be sacrificed for whatever communist reason. The lack of common sense makes the iron discipline indispensable and that is why obedience to the Party orders has degraded to the state of rituals. The latter are to be observed and not discussed. If an accusation is being raised by Politburo against somebody, the whole Writers Union (in concert with other organizations of the same type) begins to cry: "Down with the traitors!" and "Death!"

Artists in the communist world have to fulfill still another type of duties - that of intellectual grave-diggers. It is well-known how cruel is the internal struggle for power within the Party summits. Zinoviev, Kamenev, Dubcek, Nagi are not only being dismissed, they have to disappear completely from people's memory. "The funeral" of formerly famous names is being done through a full black out. They are to be dropped from everywhere, even from the official paintings hang on the walls of the art galleries. The prohibition is repulsive not so much by itself but with the conviction that it is *natural...*

The communist artists are ready not for creation but for subordination and the ripening of such features goes through a long and bitter

struggle for survival that results in the appearance of absolutely ruthless servants of the regime. In some cases the prolonged inferiority complex leads to a pathologic ambition, revealed later if the persons in question get the chance to become leading figures. As a contemporary Nero, L. Brezhnev dreamt to be a writer - and he did! Four books (written for him by professional writers) were issued and he was awarded the Lenin prize for Literature! In some of the Soviet republics there are local communist leaders who are "automatically" granted membership to the Writers union. Due to the same absence of logic the pseudo-writers are later deprived of their prizes. I really wonder how these two acts are performed with an equally impressive unanimity, the voters being the same people! No doubt, a new world record in immorality is registered in the institution of the thick-skinners. It is very likely that it will enjoy universal recognition.

The communist mass-art system is organized without any alternatives. Dissidents are exotic examples, killed on purpose by the mediocre red majority. Such closed type organization is immune to all forms of "Glassnost" as far as "Glassnost" can only herald the deeds but cannot produce them.

Suspicion is the essence of censorship. If you are going to put on stage "Richard III" you inevitably will be accused in aiming at the existing strong man in the present communist regime. This analogy might be continued in any direction as far as the realm of socialist absurdity is concerned. Aesthetics is proclaimed to be a political category based on the axis Party-Art. If an American or British Prime minister would risk to draw a straight line between his Party and the artistic life, he certainly would be ridiculed in a very massive way by the media to say the least. Communism, on the contrary, accepts that such relations are habitual and normal. Party supervisors are

present *always* at the rehearsal of plays and their word is decisive when the fatal question "to be or not to be" is being raised. A new practice appeared since the Red art put its grip on the Culture - "arrested master-pieces". Hundreds of plays, books, symphonies and paintings have been banned by a senior will in the last moment. Some of them might be rehabilitated later if the things change. The greater the irresponsibility of the explanations given, the stronger is the Party that hammers them. The privilege of equivocation is typical for the Stalinism (in contrast to Nazism, whose ferocity possesses its internal logic) especially as far as its ideological kitchen is concerned. In the field of Art this trend is most strongly expressed. The examples are difficult to enumerate but I cannot keep revealing a very typical one connected with Solzhenitsyn. After he has been awarded the Nobel prize (1970), a protest was voted in the Bulgarian Writers union and sent to the Nobel Committee. Recently the same people announced that they had done a big mistake. More tragic was the fate of the famous poetess Marina Tsvetaeva who has been announced to be a spy and sent in banishment where in a state of deep mental depression she committed suicide. Now she is again respected by her fellow intellectuals. Frequent change of views, sometimes even daily, is being considered an urgent necessity if one would like to survive. Carl Jaspers' idea for the collective guilt is wrong in a world where Art is a political tool and nothing more. Collective guilt grants permanent personal innocence to everyone concerned. Thus a legalized irresponsibility arises among most of the communist puppets in cultural life. Their badge is not the beautiful Tree of Art but the fruitful, though ugly, Tree of the Party.

After becoming a tradition the domination of the Red dogmas upon Art has been proclaimed to be the highest mental achievement. A rigid hierarchy keeps it from external influence. There is a striking inconsistency

between the existence of an artist in the democratic world and an artist under communism. The latter is absolutely dependent. It is up to the Bosses of Culture to decide if a writer may live or he must starve. Thus the System becomes a combination of both political homicide and economical suicide.



## THE FISSION OF THE INDIVIDUAL

Red party proclamations are to be welcomed and never disputed even if they consist of well-documented foolishness. It is a beloved communist practice to startle the public by sincere irrationality as a demonstration of power. A political atmosphere of submission arises whose first victim is the Individual. As stated in the dictionaries "individual" is the Latin version of the Greek word "atomos", i.e. "indivisible". The alchemy of Communism puts itself the task to carry out the fission of that "Human atom", the Individual, using the philosophic stone of Marx-Lenin-Stalin. And of KGB as a catalyst.

An interesting communist achievement is the demolition of the intrinsic human trust in Truth. Red ideology is being inserted in the soul instead. At first sight the substitution looks negligible but in fact it is a dangerous time-bomb that explodes quite unexpectedly. A Czech prosecutor hanged himself 20 years after he took part in a political process against innocent people and this is not a single case of late awakened conscience. Quite in a sudden reliable communist supporters start attacking the ideological fortress they had to defend. Solzhenitsyn, Syniavsky and a great number of other writers (and literary critics too), presumably paid to be pillars of communism, one by one (or in concert) reject the red dogmas and begin to cry for democracy. Moral principles, considered inferior to material factors in social life, prove to be a very important force. However one must agree that antihuman communist activity achieved yet some far reaching results. The private incentive, valued so high in the free world, is being fatally damaged.

For some people a superficial study of Marxism provides enough grounds to accept it "irrespective of its foginess". Individuals, over-saturated

with Party fabrications, create a new image - the image of the Active Communist Supporter. Sincere or not this image got wide representation especially during the so called "Mature socialism". Later those who were trying to emigrate from the Red "paradise" invented an interesting alibi: they said they had been working hard to study communism because one should know very well the dogmas in which one does not believe...

So the moral center of Society is the first thing the communism annihilates. Human integrity is being killed by a vast variety of methods. It must be specially emphasized that the red heralds of Equality are actually introducing legal Inequality everywhere. Even in the food rationing (in peace time!!!) communism implements discrimination between "big" and "small" writers within the frames of the Writers union of USSR.

Many degrees of discrimination in every possible way (in the concentration camps as well) are typical under the communist regime. Each one must feel permanently one's dependence upon the will of the Party bosses. A steadily implanted insecurity presides over the ex-individuals irrespective whether they agree or not. Since that moment they consist of two parts: No 1 is Fear, No 2 is all the rest. Allegedly the people under communism are free but in fact they are being simply pressed in a rigid straight jacket of Marxist ideas designed to serve the "Party line", whatever it might be. The communist individual according to Mao is a screw within the giant totalitarian machine. He is hardly distinguishable among the multitude of the other Marxist-molded screws - expendable and dispensable.

The above mentioned technology is being based on a philosophy carefully passed through the filter of communism where all non-communist ideas from antiquity to the present are more or less expressed by the mood of negation. The people must not know what Kant says but must know what

Marx, Engels, Lenin, Stalin say about Kant. The same destiny is being prescribed to Plato, Nietzsche, Kirkegor, Pascal... "Reactionary" is the most polite form to characterize them from a communist point of view. All red pseudo-intellectuals, brain-washed for life, compose an amalgam of irrational obedience that the communists use with insistence but without profit. Such obedience is non-productive. It lacks even the versatility of the robots because deep inside it contains enormous amounts of stubborn laziness. Yes, Communism makes the individual lazy not only physically but in a rather psychological aspect. One fears everything. After being so often punished the Incentive becomes a dangerous business irrespective of the source it might originate from, except Politburo, of course.

The bulk of oppressed people presents a unique challenge to Sociology. After getting some portion of Freedom they are unable to decide what to do with it. Fear has become an immanent sign of their personality - non-oriented, blind, preventive, prophylactic Fear. "Glassnost" and "Reformation" in fact are only a cover of this Fiercest Fear. You see, over 80% of the "freely" elected MPs in USSR and later in Russia are ex-Communist Party members! The public opinion screening in Nicaragua showed until the very last moment that the people will vote Sandinists at least 2:1. Only the vast representation of UN-observers on the spot resurrected the voters' confidence in Justice - they gave their approval to the opposition and it became obvious that the previous tests are incorrect due to the considerable background of Fear. This is an optimistic result corroborating the hypothesis that political fear is a curable disease even after a lasting communist infection. Another infectious disease, transferred conscientiously to Society by the communism, is the so called internationalism. Its real content is in fact chauvinism of highest degree. Confrontation between different peoples on the

ancient principle "*divide et impera*" is widely used for many Party purposes. Khrushchov writes in his memoirs:

"Anti-Semitism in our country was at a very high level..."

National conflicts are being very carefully harvested in the name of the "All-victorious Party line". It was so in USSR, Czechoslovakia, Rumania, Hungary, Ethiopia, Poland, Yugoslavia, Bulgaria, Cambodia, etc. Ethnic belligerence added new impetus to the process of the communist dehumanization. Communism is a giant boiling pot of immorality and only the red bosses are unable to notice that this fact puts at risk the very existence of the regime. The revolts in the very core of the communist block require new approach and new understanding. The classical Lenin's instruction (April 1921): "We need a red terrorist purge: court-martial and execution on the spot without any appeal..." meets increasing resistance. How do the red governments respond to the new requirements? - They introduced proposals for changes in their own legislation that had never been observed!.. North Korea is the most obvious argument in this respect. This must be regarded as one of the greatest falsifications of our time. However I doubt very much if it will last.

In its capacity of political cancer the communism is extremely dangerous even after it would have been surgically removed. Its metastases are rooted deep in Society. Big groups of people are being taken ideological hostages by means of the documented dirty collaboration with the Party and KGB. It will be very naive to overlook these facts. Mental convalescence of the Individual towards a new integrity includes patience and vigilance. Permanent education of affinity with Truth, no doubt, will exercise a healing effect. At present the so called ex-communist countries are ideologically packed in a manner allowing easy handling of human material. Until when? - That remains

to be seen. Political forecast is difficult, especially for Western observers, who are being accustomed to follow the common logic that communism can be disregarded.

Communists have self-respect but they lack self-possession and so they might be dangerous enemies both to their neighbors and to themselves. The absence of individuality makes communists irresponsible and unpredictable. Sometimes they behave as inorganic substance and that is why the sense of guilt is not familiar to them. Here is to be also found the source of the communist hierarchy governed by an absolute non-professionalism. It is striking that nobody cares about the human factor as an organizer of creativeness. The latter is being substituted for the will of a mental abstraction - the Communist party. In my opinion the global catastrophe of the present Red intentions is being summarized in the following newly invented slogan:

"From Mature socialism - to Civilized society!"

The point is that it is being created by the communists themselves.

## C O N T E N T S

1. Panegyric of Mediocrity	3
2. The Principle of Maximal Absurdity	8
3. Communist Mechanism of Repair	11
4 The Bunch of High Ranking Comrades	14
5. Architects of Unanimity	18
6. KGB - Empire	22
7. The Survival as a Form of Happiness	29
8. The Wisdom of the Communist Party	34
9. Marxism and the Role of the King	39
10. Marxist Philosophy - Clever or Cunning?	43
11. Communist Propaganda - a Form of Misinformation	46
12. The Men that Corrupted Communism	50
13. The Argument of Bloodshed	58
14. Freedom in Scarlet	65
15. The Progress of Chaos	71
16. The Enemy	78
17. Blindness as an Intention	87
18. Soviet Science in Action	95
19. Who is Afraid of the Big Bad Truth?	108
20. Current Justice	116
21. Rearrangement of Puppets	123
22. The Bosses of Arts	130
23. The Fission of the Individual	137